arXiv:0810.3138v2 [math.AG] 27 Jan 2010

VECTOR BUNDLES ON FANO THREEFOLDS OF GENUS 7 AND BRILL-NOETHER LOCI

MARIA CHIARA BRAMBILLA AND DANIELE FAENZI

Abstract. Given a smooth prime Fano threefold X of genus 7 we consider its homologically projectively dual curve Γ and the natural integral functor $\mathbf{\Phi}^! : \mathbf{D^b}(X) \to \mathbf{D^b}(\Gamma)$.

We prove that, for $d \geq 6$, $\mathbf{\Phi}^!$ gives a birational map from a component of the moduli scheme $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,d)$ of rank 2 stable sheaves on X with $c_1 = 1$, $c_2 = d$ to a generically smooth $(2\,d-9)$-dimensional component of the Brill-Noether variety $W^{2\,d-11}_{d-5,5\,d-24}$ of stable vector bundles on Γ of rank $d-5$ and degree $5\,d-24$ with at least $2\,d-10$ sections.

This map turns out to be an isomorphism for $d = 6$, and the moduli space $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6)$ is fine. For general X, this moduli space is a smooth irreducible threefold.

1. Introduction

Let X be a smooth complex projective variety of dimension 3, with Picard number one, and assume that the anticanonical divisor K_X is ample. Then X is called a Fano threefold, and one defines the index i_X of X as the greatest integer i such that $-K_X/i$ lies in $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$. We are interested in the Maruyama moduli scheme $\mathsf{M}_X(2,c_1,c_2)$ of semistable sheaves of rank 2 and Chern classes c_1, c_2, and with $c_3 = 0$, defined on a Fano threefold X.

The maximum value of i_X is 4, and in this case X must be isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^3$. The study of the moduli space $\mathsf{M}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2,c_1,c_2)$ was pioneered by Barth in [Bar77], and pursued later by several authors. Roughly speaking, the main questions concern rationality, irreducibility and smoothness of these moduli spaces; many of them are still open. Among the main tools to study the problem, we recall monads and Beilinson's theorem, see [BH78], [Bei78] and [OSS80].

The next case is $i_X = 3$. Then X has to be isomorphic to a quadric hypersurface. This case was considered by Ein and Sols ([ES84]) and later by Ottaviani and Szurek, see [OS94].

In the case $i_X = 2$, there are 5 deformation classes of Fano threefolds as it results from Iskovskikh's classification, see [IP99]. Perhaps the most studied among them is the cubic hypersurface V_3 in $\mathbb{P}^4$. The geometry of these threefolds is deeply linked to the properties of the families of curves they contain. A cornerstone in this sense is the paper [CG72] of Clemens and Griffiths on V_3. For a survey of results about moduli spaces of vector bundles on V_3 we refer to [Bea02]. In particular we mention [Dru00], [MT01] and [BMR94].

In the case $i_X = 1$, we say that X is a *prime* Fano threefold. Then, one defines the genus of X as the integer $g = -K_X^3/2+1$. The genus satisfies $2 \leq g \leq 12$, $g \neq 11$, and there are 10 deformation classes of prime Fano threefolds. Their birational geometry has been extensively studied as well, see [IP99]. The geometry of the moduli spaces of rank 2 vector bundles on X has been more recently investigated by several authors, for instance in the papers [IM00b] (for genus 3), [IM04a], [IM07c] (for genus 7), [IM07], [IM00a] (for genus 8), [IR05] (for genus 9), [AF06] (for genus 12). Among the main tools we mention the Abel-Jacobi map and Serre's correspondence between rank 2 vector bundles and curves contained in X.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14J60. Secondary 14H30, 14F05, 14D20.

Key words and phrases. Fano threefolds of genus 7. Moduli space of vector bundles. Brill-Noether theory for vector bundles on curves. Semiorthogonal decomposition. Lagrangian submanifold of symplectic manifolds.

Both authors were partially supported by Italian MIUR funds. The second author was partially supported by GRIFGA and by ANR contract Interlow ANR-09-JCJC-0097-01.

The purpose of the present paper is to investigate the properties of the moduli spaces of rank 2 bundles on a smooth prime Fano threefold X, making use of homological methods. We first observe that (under a mild generality assumption on X), given any integer $d \geq g/2+1$, the moduli space $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,d)$ contains a generically smooth component $\mathsf{M}(d)$ of dimension $2\,d-g-2$, such that its general element F is a stable locally free sheaf with $\mathrm{H}^1(X,F(-1))=0$, see Theorem 3.11.

Then, we focus on genus 7, where an analogue of Beilinson's theorem is provided by the semiorthogonal decomposition of the bounded derived category $\mathbf{D^b}(X)$ obtained by Kuznetsov in [Kuz05]. We use this decomposition to study the component $\mathsf{M}(d)$. More precisely, we consider the homologically projectively dual curve Γ in the sense of [Kuz06], and the corresponding integral functor $\mathbf{\Phi}^! : \mathbf{D^b}(X) \to \mathbf{D^b}(\Gamma)$. Making use of the canonical resolution of a general element of $\mathsf{M}(d)$, we show that $\mathbf{\Phi}^!$ gives a birational map φ from $\mathsf{M}(d)$ to a component of $W^{2\,d-11}_{d-5,5\,d-24}$ (Theorem 5.9), where we denote by $W^s_{r,c}$ the Brill-Noether variety of stable vector bundles on Γ of rank r and degree c with at least $s+1$ independent global sections.

We prove that the map φ is in fact an isomorphism in the case $d=6$. In particular the moduli space $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6)$ is fine and isomorphic to a connected threefold (Theorem 5.11, part A). If X is general enough, the moduli space $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6)$ is actually smooth and irreducible (Theorem 5.11, part B). We also exhibit an involution of $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6)$ which interchanges the set of sheaves which are not globally generated with the one of those which are not locally free. Finally we show that, if S is a general hyperplane section surface, the space $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6)$ embeds as a Lagrangian subvariety of $\mathsf{M}_S(2,1,6)$ with respect to the Mukai form, away from finitely many double points (Theorem 5.20).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we review the geometry of Fano threefolds X of genus 7 and the structure of their derived category. In Section 3 we construct (under mild generality assumptions) a generically smooth component $\mathsf{M}(d)$ of $\mathsf{M}_Y(2,1,d)$, over a smooth prime Fano threefold Y (of any genus), and we recall some basic facts concerning bundles with minimal c_2. Then again we work on genus 7: in Section 4, we prove that the functor $\mathbf{\Phi}^!$ provides an isomorphism between the Hilbert scheme $\mathscr{H}^0_3(X)$ and the symmetric cube $\Gamma^{(3)}$, see Theorem 4.4). Section 5 contains our main results.

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to Kieran O'Grady for pointing out the paper by Tyurin, and to Dimitri Markushevich for helping us in making more precise the generality assumption in Theorem 5.11. We would like to thank the referee for several comments that greatly helped us to improve our paper.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let us introduce some basic material. The main notions we will need concern moduli spaces of semistable sheaves, smooth Fano threefolds, Brill-Noether varieties and a bit of homological algebra. Throughout the paper we work over the field of complex numbers.

2.1. **Notation and preliminary results.** Given a smooth complex projective n-dimensional polarized variety (X,H_X) and a sheaf F on X, we write $F(t)$ for $F\otimes\mathscr{O}_X(tH_X)$. Given a subscheme Z of X, we write F_Z for $F\otimes\mathscr{O}_Z$ and we denote by $\mathcal{I}_{Z,X}$ the ideal sheaf of Z in X, and by $N_{Z,X}$ its normal sheaf. We will frequently drop the second subscript. Given a pair of sheaves (F,E) on X, we will write $\mathrm{ext}^k_X(F,E)$ for the dimension of the vector space $\mathrm{Ext}^k_X(F,E)$, and similarly $\mathrm{h}^k(X,F)=\dim\mathrm{H}^k(X,F)$. The Euler characteristic of (F,E) is defined as $\chi(F,E)=\sum_k(-1)^k\,\mathrm{ext}^k_X(F,E)$ and $\chi(F)$ is defined as $\chi(\mathscr{O}_X,F)$. We denote by $p(F,t)$ the Hilbert polynomial $\chi(F(t))$ of the sheaf F. The dualizing sheaf of X is denoted by ω_X. We define also the natural evaluation map:

$$e_{E,F} : \mathrm{Hom}_Y(E,F)\otimes E \to F.$$

If X is a smooth projective subvariety of $\mathbb{P}^m$, we say that X is *ACM* (for *arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay*) if its coordinate ring is Cohen-Macaulay. A locally free sheaf F on an ACM variety X of dimension $n \geq 1$ is called *ACM* if it has no intermediate cohomology, i.e. if $\mathrm{H}^k(X, F(t)) = 0$ for all integer t and for any $0 < k < n$. This is equivalent to $\oplus_{t\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{H}^0(X, F(t))$ being a Cohen-Macaulay module over the coordinate ring of X.

2.1.1. *Coherent sheaves.* The Chern classes $c_k(F)$ are usually defined for a vector bundle F on a non-singular variety X, and take values in $\mathrm{H}^{k,k}(X)$. In the sequel, the Chern classes will be denoted by integers as soon as $\mathrm{H}^{k,k}(X)$ has dimension 1. The Chern polynomial of a rank r vector bundle F is defined to be $c_F(t) = 1 + c_1(F)t + \ldots + c_r(F)t^r$ and it is multiplicative on exact sequences of vector bundles. Hence the Chern classes can be defined on the Grothendieck group of vector bundles on X, and in fact on the Grothendieck group of all coherent sheaves (they are isomorphic on a smooth variety). For more details see e.g. [Har77, Appendix A].

We denote by $F^* = \mathscr{H}om_X(F, \mathscr{O}_X)$ the dual of a coherent sheaf F on X. Recall that a coherent sheaf F on X is *reflexive* if the natural map $F \to F^{**}$ of F to its double dual is an isomorphism. We recall here some basic facts on reflexive sheaves, which will be useful in the sequel, and we refer to [Har80] for more details. Any locally free sheaf is reflexive, and any reflexive sheaf is torsion-free. A coherent sheaf F on a noetherian integral scheme X is reflexive if and only if it can be included in an exact sequence

$$0 \to F \to E \to G \to 0$$

where E is locally free and G is torsion-free, see [Har80, Proposition 1.1]. Moreover, by [Har80, Proposition 1.9] if X is smooth then any reflexive rank 1 sheaf is invertible. Finally, we will often use a straightforward generalization of [Har80, Proposition 2.6] which implies that the third Chern class $c_3(F)$ of a rank 2 reflexive sheaf F on a smooth threefold X satisfies $c_3(F) \geq 0$ and vanishes if and only if F is locally free.

2.1.2. *Semistable sheaves and their moduli spaces.* We refer to the book [HL97] for a detailed account of all the notions introduced here. We recall that a torsion-free coherent sheaf F on X is (Gieseker) *semistable* if for any coherent subsheaf E, with $0 < \mathrm{rk}(E) < \mathrm{rk}(F)$, one has $p(E,t)/\mathrm{rk}(E) \leq p(F,t)/\mathrm{rk}(F)$ for $t \gg 0$. The sheaf F is called *stable* if the inequality above is always strict.

The *slope* of a sheaf F of positive rank is defined as $\mu(F) = \deg(c_1(F) \cdot H_X^{n-1})/\mathrm{rk}(F)$. We recall that a torsion-free coherent sheaf F is *μ-semistable* if for any coherent subsheaf E, with $0 < \mathrm{rk}(E) < \mathrm{rk}(F)$, one has $\mu(E) \leq \mu(F)$. The sheaf F is called *μ-stable* if the above inequality is always strict. We recall that the *discriminant* of a sheaf F is $\Delta(F) = 2rc_2(F) - (r-1)c_1(F)^2$. Bogomolov's inequality, see for instance [HL97, Theorem 3.4.1], states that if F is μ-semistable, then we have:

$$\Delta(F) \cdot H_X^{n-2} \geq 0. \tag{2.1}$$

Recall that by Maruyama's theorem, see [Mar80], if $\dim(X) = n \geq 2$ and F is a μ-semistable sheaf of rank $r < n$, then its restriction to a general hypersurface of X is still μ-semistable.

We introduce here some notation concerning moduli spaces. We denote by $\mathsf{M}_X(r, c_1, \ldots, c_n)$ the moduli space of S-equivalence classes of rank r torsion-free semistable sheaves on X with Chern classes $c_1, \ldots, c_n$. We will drop the last values of the classes c_k when they are zero. We denote by $\mathsf{M}^{\mathsf{s}}{}_X(r, c_1, \ldots, c_n)$ the subset of stable sheaves of $\mathsf{M}_X(r, c_1, \ldots, c_n)$. The point of $\mathsf{M}^{\mathsf{s}}{}_X(r, c_1, \ldots, c_n)$ represented by a sheaf F will be denoted again by F, by abuse of notation.

We denote by $\mathscr{H}_d^g(X)$ the union of components of the *Hilbert scheme* of closed subschemes Z of X with Hilbert polynomial $p(\mathscr{O}_Z, t) = dt + 1 - g$, containing integral curves of degree d and arithmetic genus g.

We use the following terminology: any claim referring to a *general* element in a given parameter space P, will mean that the claim holds for all elements of P, except possibly for those who lie in a union of Zariski closed subsets of P.

2.1.3. *Homological algebra.* As a basic tool, we will use the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves. Namely, given a smooth complex projective variety X, we will consider the derived category $\mathbf{D^b}(X)$ of complexes of sheaves on X with bounded coherent cohomology. For definitions and notation we refer to [GM96] and [Wei94]. In particular we write $[j]$ for the j-th shift to the right in the derived category.

Let Z be a local complete intersection subvariety of a smooth projective variety X. In view of the Fundamental Local Isomorphism (see [Har66, Proposition III.7.2]), we have the natural isomorphisms:

$$\mathscr{E}xt^k_X(\mathscr{O}_Z, \mathscr{O}_Z) \cong \mathscr{E}xt^{k-1}_X(\mathcal{I}_Z, \mathscr{O}_Z) \cong \bigwedge^k N_Z, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\mathscr{T}or^X_k(\mathscr{O}_Z, \mathscr{O}_Z) \cong \mathscr{T}or^X_{k-1}(\mathcal{I}_Z, \mathscr{O}_Z) \cong \bigwedge^k N_Z^*. \tag{2.3}$$

For the reader's convenience we recall the following spectral sequences:

$$E_2^{p,q} = \mathrm{Ext}^p_X(\mathcal{H}^{-q}(a), A) \Longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^{p+q}_X(a, A), \tag{2.4}$$

$$E_2^{p,q} = \mathrm{Ext}^p_X(B, \mathcal{H}^{q}(b)) \Longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^{p+q}_X(B, b), \tag{2.5}$$

$$E_2^{p,q} = \mathrm{H}^p(X, \mathscr{E}xt^q_X(A, B)) \Longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^{p+q}_X(A, B). \tag{2.6}$$

where a, b are complexes of sheaves on X, and A, B are sheaves on X. Recall that the maps in the E_2 term of these spectral sequences are differentials:

$$d_2^{p,q} : E_2^{p,q} \to E_2^{p+2,q-1}.$$

2.1.4. *Brill-Noether loci for vector bundles on a smooth projective curve.* We recall here some basic results in Brill-Noether theory, for definitions and notations we refer for instance to [TiB91]. Let Γ be a smooth projective curve of genus g. The Brill-Noether locus $W^s_{r,c} \subset \mathsf{M^s}_\Gamma(r, c)$ is defined to be the subvariety consisting of rank r stable bundles of degree c on Γ having at least $s+1$ independent global sections. The expected dimension of this variety is:

$$\rho(r, c, s) = r^2(g-1) - (s+1)\,(s+1-c+r(g-1)) + 1.$$

Consider a stable rank r vector bundle $\mathcal{F}$ on Γ, with $\deg(\mathcal{F}) = c$ and $\mathrm{h}^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{F}) = s+1$. We define the Gieseker-Petri map as the natural linear application:

$$\pi_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{F}^* \otimes \omega_\Gamma) \to \mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F}^* \otimes \omega_\Gamma). \tag{2.7}$$

The map $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}$ is injective if and only if $\mathcal{F}$ is a non-singular point of a component of $W^s_{r,d}$ of dimension $\rho(r, d, s)$. We will use more frequently in the sequel the transpose of the Petri map which we write in the form:

$$\pi^\top_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathrm{Ext}^1_\Gamma(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}) \to \mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{F})^* \otimes \mathrm{H}^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{F}) \tag{2.8}$$

In fact the tangent space to $W^s_{r,d}$ at the point $\mathcal{F}$ can be interpreted as the kernel of $\pi^\top_{\mathcal{F}}$, while the space of obstructions at $\mathcal{F}$ is identified with the cokernel of $\pi^\top_{\mathcal{F}}$.

2.1.5. *Smooth prime Fano threefolds.* Let now X be a smooth projective variety of dimension 3. Recall that X is called *Fano* if its anticanonical divisor class $-K_X$ is ample. A Fano threefold X is said to be *prime* if its Picard group is generated by the class of K_X. These varieties are classified up to deformation, see for instance [IP99, Chapter IV]. The number of deformation classes is 10, and they are characterized by the *genus*, which is the integer g such that $\deg(X) = -K_X^3 = 2\,g - 2$. Recall that the genus of a prime Fano threefold take values in $\{2, \dots, 10, 12\}$. If $-K_X$ is very ample, we say that X is *non-hyperelliptic*. In this case we have $g \geq 3$.

If X is a prime Fano threefold of genus g, the Hilbert scheme $\mathscr{H}_1^0(X)$ of lines contained in X is a scheme of dimension 1. It is known by [Isk78] that the normal bundle of a line $L \subset X$ splits either as $\mathscr{O}_L \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(-1)$ or as $\mathscr{O}_L(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(-2)$. The Hilbert scheme $\mathscr{H}_1^0(X)$ contains a component which is non-reduced at any point if and only if the normal bundle of a general line L in that component splits as $\mathscr{O}_L(1) \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(-2)$. In this case, the threefold X is said to be *exotic* (see [Pro90]). On the other hand, we say that X is *ordinary* if it contains a line L with normal bundle $\mathscr{O}_L \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(-1)$, equivalently if $\mathscr{H}_1^0(X)$ has a generically smooth component. Recall that, if X is general enough, $\mathscr{H}_1^0(X)$ is in fact a smooth irreducible curve see [IP99, Theorem 4.2.7], and the references therein.

Let us remark that a non-hyperelliptic prime Fano threefold X is exotic if and only if it contains infinitely many non-reduced conics (see [BF08]). For $g \geq 9$, the results of [GLN06] and [Pro90] imply that X is non-exotic unless $g = 12$ and X is the Mukai-Umemura threefold, see [MU83]. In fact, the only other known examples of exotic prime Fano threefolds besides Mukai-Umemura's case are those containing a cone. For instance if X is the Fermat quartic threefold in $\mathbb{P}^4$ ($g = 3$), then $\mathscr{H}_1^0(X)$ is a curve with 40 irreducible components, each of multiplicity 2 (see [Ten74]). We do not know if there exist exotic prime Fano threefolds of genus 7. In view of a result of Iliev-Markushevich (restated in Lemma 4.1 further on), this amounts to ask whether there are non-tetragonal smooth curves Γ of genus 7 admitting infinitely many divisors $\mathcal{L}$ of type g^1_5 such that $K_\Gamma - 2\mathcal{L}$ is effective (see Remark 4.2).

Remark that the cohomology groups $\mathrm{H}^{k,k}(X)$ of a prime Fano threefold X of genus g are generated by the divisor class H_X (for $k = 1$), the class L_X of a line contained in X (for $k = 2$), the class P_X of a closed point of X (for $k = 3$). Hence we will denote the Chern classes of a sheaf on X by the integral multiple of the corresponding generator. Recall that $H_X^2 = (2\,g - 2)L_X$.

Applying the theorem of Riemann-Roch to a sheaf F on X, of (generic) rank r and with Chern classes c_1, c_2, c_3, we obtain the following formulas:

$$\chi(F) = r + \frac{11+g}{6}\,c_1 + \frac{g-1}{2}\,c_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}c_2 + \frac{g-1}{3}\,c_1^3 - \frac{1}{2}\,c_1\,c_2 + \frac{1}{2}\,c_3, \tag{2.9}$$

$$\chi(F,F) = r^2 - \frac{1}{2}\Delta(F),$$

and, in case $r = 2$ and $g = 7$, formula (2.9) becomes:

$$\chi(F) = 2 + 3\,c_1 + 3\,c_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}c_2 + 2\,c_1^3 - \frac{1}{2}\,c_1\,c_2 + \frac{1}{2}\,c_3. \tag{2.10}$$

Recall also that a smooth projective surface S is a *K3 surface* if it has trivial canonical bundle and irregularity zero. Note that a general hyperplane section of a smooth non-hyperelliptic prime Fano threefold of genus g is a K3 surface S whose Picard group is generated by the restriction H_S of H_X to S, and whose (sectional) genus equals g. We consider stability with respect to H_S. Given a stable sheaf F of rank r on a K3 surface S with Chern classes c_1, c_2, the dimension at F of the moduli space $\mathsf{M}_S(r, c_1, c_2)$ is:

$$\Delta(F) - 2\,(r^2 - 1). \tag{2.11}$$

For this equality we refer for instance to [HL97, Part II, Chapter 6].

Remark 2.1. Assume that X is a smooth prime Fano threefold, and let L be a line contained in X, with $N_L \cong \mathscr{O}_L \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(-1)$. Then we have:

$$\mathrm{ext}^1_X(\mathscr{O}_L, \mathscr{O}_L) = 1, \quad \mathrm{ext}^2_X(\mathscr{O}_L, \mathscr{O}_L) = 0.$$

One can easily check this statement, using (2.2) and (2.6).

Remark 2.2. Let X be a smooth prime Fano threefold, and L a line contained in X. Then by the well-known Hartshorne-Serre correspondence (for instance, by an adaptation of [Har80, Theorem 4.1] to our setup) we can associate to L a rank 2 vector bundle F_L, with $c_1(F_L) = -1$ and $c_2(F_L) = 1$ (see also [Mad02]). Moreover we have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathscr{O}_X \to F_L \to \mathcal{I}_L(-1) \to 0. \tag{2.12}$$

2.2. **Geometry of Fano threefolds of genus 7.** We recall here the construction of a Fano threefold of genus 7 as a section of the spinor 10-fold, outlined by Mukai in [Muk88], [Muk89]. See also [Muk92], [Muk95a], [IM04a].

Let V be a 10-dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-vector space, equipped with a non-degenerate quadratic form. The algebraic group $\mathsf{Spin}(V)$ corresponds to a Dynkin diagram of type D_5. It admits two 16-dimensional irreducible representations S^+ and S^-, called the half-spin representations, having maximal weight respectively $\lambda_+ = \lambda_4$ and $\lambda_- = \lambda_5$. These representations are naturally dual to each other.

The corresponding roots $\alpha_+ = \alpha_4$ and $\alpha_- = \alpha_5$ give rise to the Hermitian symmetric spaces Σ^+ and Σ^-, defined by $\Sigma^\pm = \mathsf{Spin}(10)/\mathsf{P}(\alpha_\pm)$. These can be seen as the components of the orthogonal Grassmann variety $\mathbb{G}_Q(\mathbb{P}^4, \mathbb{P}(V))$ of 4-dimensional isotropic linear subspaces $\mathbb{P}^4$ contained in the smooth quadric hypersurface Q in $\mathbb{P}^9 = \mathbb{P}(V)$ corresponding to the quadratic form on V. We denote by $\mathcal{U}_\pm$ the restriction of the tautological subbundle on $\mathbb{G}_Q(\mathbb{P}^4, \mathbb{P}(V))$ to $\Sigma^\pm$. We have thus the universal exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathcal{U}_\pm \to V \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\Sigma^\pm} \to \mathcal{U}_\pm^* \to 0. \tag{2.13}$$

The hyperplane divisors $H_{\Sigma^\pm}$ provide natural equivariant embeddings of $\Sigma^\pm$ into $\mathbb{P}(\mathsf{S}^\pm)$. Given a subvariety $Y \subset \Sigma^\pm$, we denote by H_Y the restriction of $H_{\Sigma^\pm}$ to Y.

Now choose a 9-dimensional vector subspace A of S^+, and consider its (7-dimensional) orthogonal space $B = A^\perp \subset \mathsf{S}^-$ under the duality $(\mathsf{S}^+)^* \cong \mathsf{S}^-$. We define:

$$X = \Sigma^+ \cap \mathbb{P}(A) \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathsf{S}^+), \tag{2.14}$$

$$\Gamma = \Sigma^- \cap \mathbb{P}(B) \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathsf{S}^-). \tag{2.15}$$

If the subspace A is general enough, then X is a smooth prime Fano threefold of genus 7, and any such threefold is obtained in this way. In particular we have $K_X = -H_X$, $H_X^3 = 12$. In turn, the curve Γ is a smooth canonical curve of genus 7, called the *homologically projective dual curve* of X. By [Muk95a, Table 1], we know that the curve Γ is not trigonal nor tetragonal and $W^2_{1,6}$ is empty. Moreover, a general curve of genus 7 is of this kind.

2.2.1. *Semiorthogonal decomposition of the derived category of X.* Here we briefly sketch the construction due to Kuznetsov [Kuz05], of the semiorthogonal decomposition of $\mathbf{D^b}(X)$. We consider the product variety $X \times \Gamma$, together with the two projections $p\colon X \times \Gamma \to X$, $q\colon X \times \Gamma \to \Gamma$.

The symmetric form on V provides the following natural exact sequence on $X \times \Gamma \subset \Sigma^+ \times \Sigma^-$:

$$0 \to \mathscr{E}^* \to \mathcal{U}_- \to \mathcal{U}_+^* \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathscr{E} \to 0 \tag{2.16}$$

(here $\mathcal{U}_\pm$ denotes also the pull-back of $\mathcal{U}_\pm$ to $X \times \Gamma$). It turns out that $\mathscr{E}$ is a locally free sheaf on $X \times \Gamma$ with the following invariants:

$$c_1(\mathscr{E}) = H_X + H_\Gamma, \tag{2.17}$$

$$c_2(\mathscr{E}) = \frac{7}{12} H_X\, H_\Gamma + 5\, L + \eta, \tag{2.18}$$

where η sits in $\mathrm{H}^3(X, \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathrm{H}^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{C})$ and satisfies $\eta^2 = 14$.

In view of the results of [Muk01], [Muk95b], [Kuz05] and [IM04a], the vector bundle $\mathscr{E}$ is a universal object for moduli functors on X and Γ in the sense specified as follows.

Theorem 2.3 (Mukai, Iliev-Markushevich, Kuznetsov)**.** *Let* $A \subset \mathsf{S}^+$ *be chosen so that* X *defined by* (2.14) *is a smooth threefold of Picard number 1, and define* Γ *and* $\mathscr{E}$ *as in* (2.15), (2.16)*. Then:*

i) the curve Γ *is isomorphic to* $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,5)$*,*

ii) the manifold X *is isomorphic to the Brill-Noether locus of stable bundles* $\mathcal{E}$ *on* Γ *with* $\mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{E}) = 2$, $\det(\mathcal{E}) \cong H_\Gamma$, $\mathrm{h}^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{E}) = 5$*,*

iii) the bundle $\mathscr{E}$ *universally represents both moduli problems* (i) *and* (ii)*,*

iv) for all $y \in \Gamma$*, the sheaf* $\mathscr{E}_y$ *is a globally generated ACM vector bundle.*

Given points $x \in X$, $y \in \Gamma$, and given a vector bundle $\mathscr{F}$ on $X \times \Gamma$, we denote by $\mathscr{F}_y$ (resp. $\mathscr{F}_x$) the bundle over X (resp. over Γ) obtained restricting $\mathscr{F}$ to $X \times \{y\}$ (resp. to $\{x\} \times \Gamma$). We still denote by $\mathcal{U}_+$ (resp. $\mathcal{U}_-$) the restriction of $\mathcal{U}_\pm$ to X (resp. to Γ). The vector bundles $\mathcal{U}_+$ and $\mathcal{U}_-$ have rank 5. We have $c_1(\mathcal{U}_-) = -2H_\Gamma$ and:

$$c_1(\mathcal{U}_+) = -2, \qquad c_2(\mathcal{U}_+) = 24, \qquad c_3(\mathcal{U}_+) = -14.$$

We define the following exact functors:

$$\mathbf{\Phi} : \mathbf{D^b}(\Gamma) \to \mathbf{D^b}(X), \qquad \mathbf{\Phi}(-) = \mathbf{R}p_*(q^*(-) \otimes \mathscr{E}), \tag{2.19}$$

$$\mathbf{\Phi}^! : \mathbf{D^b}(X) \to \mathbf{D^b}(\Gamma), \qquad \mathbf{\Phi}^!(-) = \mathbf{R}q_*(p^*(-) \otimes \mathscr{E}^*(H_\Gamma))[1], \tag{2.20}$$

$$\mathbf{\Phi}^* : \mathbf{D^b}(X) \to \mathbf{D^b}(\Gamma), \qquad \mathbf{\Phi}^*(-) = \mathbf{R}q_*(p^*(-) \otimes \mathscr{E}^*(-H_X))[3]. \tag{2.21}$$

We recall that $\mathbf{\Phi}$ is fully faithful, $\mathbf{\Phi}^*$ is left adjoint to $\mathbf{\Phi}$, and $\mathbf{\Phi}^!$ is right adjoint to $\mathbf{\Phi}$. The main result of [Kuz05] provides the following semiorthogonal decomposition:

$$\mathbf{D^b}(X) \cong \langle \mathscr{O}_X, \mathcal{U}_+^*, \mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{D^b}(\Gamma))\rangle. \tag{2.22}$$

This decomposition will be used to write a canonical resolution of a given sheaf over X. In view of [Gor90], given a sheaf F over X, the decomposition (2.22) provides a functorial exact triangle:

$$\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F)) \to F \to \mathbf{\Psi}(\mathbf{\Psi}^*(F)), \tag{2.23}$$

where $\mathbf{\Psi}$ is the inclusion of the subcategory $\langle \mathscr{O}_X, \mathcal{U}_+^*\rangle$ in $\mathbf{D^b}(X)$ and $\mathbf{\Psi}^*$ is the left adjoint functor to $\mathbf{\Psi}$. The k-th term of the complex $\mathbf{\Psi}(\mathbf{\Psi}^*(F))$ can be written as follows:

$$(\mathbf{\Psi}(\mathbf{\Psi}^*(F)))^k \cong \mathrm{Ext}_X^{-k}(F, \mathscr{O}_X)^* \otimes \mathscr{O}_X \oplus \mathrm{Ext}_X^{1-k}(F, \mathcal{U}_+)^* \otimes \mathcal{U}_+^*. \tag{2.24}$$

Remark 2.4. Given a sheaf F on X, one can describe more explicitly the map $F \to \mathbf{\Psi}(\mathbf{\Psi}^*(F))$. We do this here, in order to show that the complex $\mathbf{\Psi}(\mathbf{\Psi}^*(F))$ is *minimal*, i.e. the only non-zero maps in the complex are from copies of $\mathscr{O}_X$ to copies of $\mathcal{U}_+^*$. In other words, for any k, the differential d^k from the $(k-1)$-st term to the k-th term is strictly triangular.

We consider the product $X \times X$ and the projections q_1 and q_2 onto the two factors. Let $\Delta : X \to X \times X$ be the diagonal embedding. We denote by $\mathbf{K}$ the complex on $X \times X$

$$\mathcal{U}_+ \boxtimes \mathcal{U}_+ \to \mathscr{O}_X \boxtimes \mathscr{O}_X \to \Delta_*(\mathscr{O}_X)$$

obtained restricting the standard resolution of the diagonal on the Grassmannian, see [Kap88]. Now denote by $\mathbf{U}$ the complex $\mathscr{O}_X \boxtimes \mathscr{O}_X[3] \to \mathcal{U}_+^* \boxtimes \mathcal{U}_+^*[3]$. Since $(\Delta_*(\mathscr{O}_X))^* \cong \Delta_*(\mathscr{O}_X(1))[-3]$, dualizing $\mathbf{K}$ and shifting by 3, we get that $\mathbf{K}^*[3]$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\Delta_*(\mathscr{O}_X(1)) \to \mathbf{U}$. This quasi-isomorphism can be rewritten as a distinguished triangle

$$\mathbf{K}^*(-1,0)[3] \to \Delta_*(\mathscr{O}_X) \to \mathbf{U}(-1,0).$$

Then, given a sheaf F on X, the complex $\mathbf{\Psi}(\mathbf{\Psi}^*(F))$ is given by $\mathbf{R}q_{2*}(q_1^*(F(-1)) \otimes \mathbf{U})$, and the map $F \to \mathbf{\Psi}(\mathbf{\Psi}^*(F))$ is induced by $\Delta_*(\mathscr{O}_X) \to \mathbf{U}(-1,0)$. Finally, we recall the vanishing $\mathrm{Ext}_X^k(\mathcal{U}_+^*, \mathscr{O}_X) = \mathrm{Ext}_X^k(\mathscr{O}_X, \mathcal{U}_+^*) = 0$ for any $k > 0$.

Having this in mind, one can easily prove the minimality statement, indeed [Kap88, Lemma 1.6] applies, and we can use [AO89, Lemma 3.2] to deduce that the differentials between the graded pieces of $\mathbf{R}q_{2*}(q_1^*(F(-1)) \otimes \mathcal{U}_+^* \boxtimes \mathcal{U}_+^*)$ are zero, as well as differentials between the graded pieces of $\mathbf{R}q_{2*}(q_1^*(F(-1)))$.

Remark 2.5. Given an object F of $\mathbf{D^b}(X)$, we have an exact triangle:

$$F \to \mathbf{\Psi}(\mathbf{\Psi}^*(F)) \to \mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F))[1],$$

so we may think of $\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F))[1]$ as the *right mutation functor* $R_{\langle \mathscr{O}_X, \mathcal{U}_+^* \rangle}$ with respect to the subcategory $\langle \mathscr{O}_X, \mathcal{U}_+^* \rangle$ of $\mathbf{D^b}(X)$ see [Gor90].

2.2.2. *Some lemmas on universal bundles.* We close this section with some lemmas regarding the image via the integral functors defined above of some natural sheaves on X and Γ. These results will be needed further on. From the sequence (2.16) we obtain:

$$0 \to \mathscr{E}^* \to \mathcal{U}_- \to \mathscr{G} \to 0, \tag{2.25}$$

$$0 \to \mathscr{G} \to \mathcal{U}_+^* \to \mathscr{E} \to 0, \tag{2.26}$$

where $\mathscr{G}$ is a rank 3 vector bundle with $c_1(\mathscr{G}) = H_X - H_\Gamma$.

Lemma 2.6. *The vector bundles $\mathcal{U}_+$ and $\mathscr{G}_y$, for any $y \in \Gamma$, are stable and ACM. Moreover, we have $\mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma, \mathscr{G}_x) = 0$ for any $x \in X$.*

Proof. Let us prove first that $\mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma, \mathscr{G}_x) = 0$ for any $x \in X$. Notice that $\mathscr{G}_x \cong \wedge^2 \mathscr{G}_x^*(-1)$, because $\mathscr{G}_x$ has rank 3 and $c_1(\mathscr{G}_x) \cong -H_\Gamma$. Let us dualize (2.25) and restrict it to $\{x\} \times \Gamma$. We obtain an inclusion:

$$\wedge^2 \mathscr{G}_x^*(-1) \hookrightarrow \wedge^2 \mathcal{U}_-^*(-1).$$

Then we have $\mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma, \mathscr{G}_x) \subset \mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma, \wedge^2 \mathcal{U}_-^*(-1))$. So it suffices to show that the latter space is 0. To prove this, one can tensor by $\wedge^2 \mathcal{U}_-^*(-1)$ the Koszul complex:

$$0 \to \wedge^9 A \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\Sigma_-}(-9) \to \cdots \to A \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\Sigma_-}(-1) \to \mathscr{O}_{\Sigma_-} \to \mathscr{O}_\Gamma \to 0,$$

and the conclusion follows applying Bott's theorem on Σ_- to the homogeneous vector bundles $\wedge^2 \mathcal{U}_-^*(-t)$, for $t = 1, \ldots, 10$.

Let us now turn to $\mathcal{U}_+$. Consider the Koszul complex:

$$0 \to \wedge^7 B \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\Sigma_+}(-7) \to \cdots \to B \otimes \mathscr{O}_{\Sigma_+}(-1) \to \mathscr{O}_{\Sigma_+} \to \mathscr{O}_X \to 0,$$

and tensor it with $\mathcal{U}_+$. Applying Bott's theorem on Σ_+ we obtain that, for any t, the homogeneous vector bundles $\mathcal{U}_+(t)$ on Σ have natural cohomology and more precisely we get:

$$\mathrm{H}^k(\Sigma, \mathcal{U}_+(-t)) = 0, \qquad \text{for} \qquad \begin{cases} \text{all } k \text{ and } t = 0, \ldots, 7, \\ k \neq 0 \text{ and } t < 0, \\ k \neq 10 \text{ and } t > 7. \end{cases}$$

Then it easily follows that $\mathcal{U}_+$ is an ACM bundle on X and

$$\mathrm{H}^k(X, \mathcal{U}_+) = 0, \quad \text{for all } k.$$

Applying the same argument to $\wedge^2 \mathcal{U}_+$, we obtain the following:

$$\mathrm{H}^k(X, \wedge^2 \mathcal{U}_+) = 0, \quad \text{for } k \neq 1, \text{ and} \quad \mathrm{h}^1(X, \wedge^2 \mathcal{U}_+) = 1.$$

In particular, Serre duality implies:

$$\mathrm{H}^0(X, \wedge^4 \mathcal{U}_+(1)) = 0, \qquad \mathrm{H}^0(X, \wedge^3 \mathcal{U}_+(1)) = 0. \tag{2.27}$$

By Hoppe's criterion, see [AO94, Theorem 1.2] and [Hop84, Lemma 2.6], this proves stability of $\mathcal{U}_+$.

Recall that the dual of an ACM vector bundle is also ACM. Therefore, the dual bundles of $\mathcal{U}_+$ and $\mathscr{E}_y$ are ACM by (iv) of Theorem 2.3. This easily implies, by (2.25) and (2.26), that the bundle $\mathscr{G}_y$ is ACM. To prove that $\mathscr{G}_y$ is stable, by Hoppe's criterion it is enough to show that the groups $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \mathscr{G}_y^*)$, $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \mathscr{G}_y(-1))$ both vanish. We consider the restriction to $X \times \{y\}$ of (2.26). Since $\mathcal{U}_+^*(-1) \cong \wedge^4 \mathcal{U}_+(1)$, we obtain the latter vanishing by (2.27). Dualizing the same exact sequence and using $\mathrm{H}^1(X, \mathscr{E}_y^*) = 0$, we get the former. □

Lemma 2.7. *Given an object* $\mathcal{F}$ *in* $\mathbf{D^b}(\Gamma)$ *and an object* F *in* $\mathbf{D^b}(X)$ *we have the following functorial isomorphisms:*

$$\mathbf{R}\mathscr{H}om_X(\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathcal{F}),\mathscr{O}_X)\cong\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{R}\mathscr{H}om_\Gamma(\mathcal{F},\mathscr{O}_\Gamma))\otimes\mathscr{O}_X(-1)[1], \tag{2.28}$$

$$\mathbf{R}\mathscr{H}om_\Gamma(\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F),\mathscr{O}_\Gamma)\cong\mathbf{\Phi}^!(\mathbf{R}\mathscr{H}om_X(F,\mathscr{O}_X))\otimes\omega_\Gamma^*[1]. \tag{2.29}$$

Proof. By Grothendieck duality, (see [Har66, Chapter III], or [Con00]), given a complex $\mathscr{K}$ on $X\times\Gamma$, we have:

$$\mathbf{R}\mathscr{H}om_X(\mathbf{R}p_*(\mathscr{K}),\mathscr{O}_X)\cong\mathbf{R}p_*(\omega_\Gamma\otimes\mathbf{R}\mathscr{H}om_{X\times\Gamma}(\mathscr{K},\mathscr{O}_{X\times\Gamma}))[1], \tag{2.30}$$

$$\mathbf{R}\mathscr{H}om_\Gamma(\mathbf{R}q_*(\mathscr{K}),\mathscr{O}_\Gamma)\cong\mathbf{R}q_*(\omega_X\otimes\mathbf{R}\mathscr{H}om_{X\times\Gamma}(\mathscr{K},\mathscr{O}_{X\times\Gamma}))[3], \tag{2.31}$$

and the isomorphisms are functorial. Recall that $\omega_X\cong\mathscr{O}_X(-1)$ and $\omega_\Gamma\cong\mathscr{O}_\Gamma(H_\Gamma)$. So by (2.17) we have $\mathscr{E}^*\otimes\omega_\Gamma\cong\mathscr{E}\otimes\mathscr{O}_X(-1)$. Then, setting $\mathscr{K}=q^*(\mathcal{F})\otimes\mathscr{E}$ in (2.30), we get (2.28). Setting $\mathscr{K}=p^*(F)\otimes\mathscr{E}^*\otimes\omega_\Gamma[1]$ in (2.31), we obtain (2.29). ☐

Lemma 2.8. *The following relations hold on* Γ*, for each point* $y\in\Gamma$*:*

$$\mathbf{\Phi}^*(\mathscr{O}_X)\cong\mathcal{U}_-,\qquad \mathbf{\Phi}^*(\mathcal{U}_+^*)\cong\mathscr{O}_\Gamma,\qquad \mathbf{\Phi}^*(\mathscr{E}_y)\cong\mathscr{O}_y, \tag{2.32}$$

$$\mathbf{\Phi}^!(\mathscr{O}_X)=0,\qquad \mathbf{\Phi}^!(\mathcal{U}_+^*)=0,\qquad \mathbf{\Phi}^!(\mathscr{E}_y)\cong\mathscr{O}_y, \tag{2.33}$$

and on X*:*

$$\mathcal{H}^0(\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathscr{O}_\Gamma))\cong\mathcal{U}_+^*,\qquad \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathscr{O}_\Gamma))\cong\mathcal{U}_+(1), \tag{2.34}$$

$$\mathcal{H}^k(\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathscr{O}_\Gamma))=0,\qquad \textit{for } k\neq 0,1,$$

$$\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathscr{O}_y)\cong\mathscr{E}_y. \tag{2.35}$$

Proof. The isomorphism (2.35) follows immediately from the definition of $\mathbf{\Phi}$. Since the functor $\mathbf{\Phi}$ is fully faithful we easily obtain also the relations $\mathbf{\Phi}^*(\mathscr{E}_y)\cong\mathbf{\Phi}^!(\mathscr{E}_y)\cong\mathscr{O}_y$. It is clear that $\mathbf{\Phi}^!(\mathscr{O}_X)=\mathbf{\Phi}^!(\mathcal{U}_+^*)=0$.

The isomorphism $\mathbf{\Phi}^*(\mathcal{U}_+^*)\cong\mathscr{O}_\Gamma$ is proved in [Kuz05, Lemma 5.6]. Twisting (2.25) by $\mathscr{O}_{X\times\Gamma}(-H_X)$ and taking $\mathbf{R}q_*$, we get $\mathbf{\Phi}^*(\mathscr{O}_X)\cong\mathcal{U}_-$. Indeed, we have $\mathrm{H}^k(X,\mathscr{G}_y(-H_X))=0$ for any integer k, since the vanishing for $k=1,2$ follows from the fact that $\mathscr{G}_y$ is ACM (by Lemma 2.6), and the vanishing for $k=0,3$ follows from the fact that $\mathscr{G}_y$ is stable (again by Lemma 2.6).

Given $x\in X$, we restrict (2.26) to $\{x\}\times\Gamma$ and taking global sections we get $(\mathcal{U}_+^*)_x\subset\mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma,\mathscr{E}_x)$, by Lemma 2.6. Notice that $\dim(\mathcal{U}_+^*)_x=5$ and by Brill-Noether theory we know that the bundle $\mathscr{E}_x$ cannot have more than 5 sections, see [BF98]. Hence $\mathcal{U}_{+,x}^*\cong\mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma,\mathscr{E}_x)$ for all $x\in X$. We obtain the isomorphism $\mathcal{H}^0(\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathscr{O}_\Gamma))\cong\mathcal{U}_+^*$. By (2.28) we get:

$$\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathscr{O}_\Gamma))^*\cong\mathcal{H}^0(\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathscr{O}_\Gamma))\otimes\mathscr{O}_X(-1).$$

This gives the isomorphism $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathscr{O}_\Gamma))\cong\mathcal{U}_+(1)$. ☐

The following corollary of Lemma (2.7) has been pointed out by the referee. We set τ for the functor $\mathcal{F}\mapsto\mathbf{R}\mathscr{H}om_\Gamma(\mathcal{F},\omega_\Gamma)$ defined on $\mathbf{D^b}(\Gamma)$.

Corollary 2.9. *Set* T *for the functor* $F\mapsto\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{\Phi}^!(\mathbf{R}\mathscr{H}om_X(F,\mathscr{O}_X)))[1]$*. Then* T *is an autoequivalence of* ${}^\perp\langle\mathscr{O}_X,\mathcal{U}_+^*\rangle$*. Moreover, we have* $\mathbf{\Phi}^!\circ T=\tau\circ\mathbf{\Phi}^!$*.*

Proof. It is clear that the image of T is contained in the image $\mathbf{\Phi}$ of the subcategory ${}^\perp\langle\mathscr{O}_X,\mathcal{U}_+^*\rangle$. Moreover, we have $T(F)=0$, for any object F in $\langle\mathscr{O}_X,\mathcal{U}_+^*\rangle$, since $T(\mathscr{O}_X)=T(\mathcal{U}_+^*)=0$. Using Lemmas 2.7 and 2.8. it is easy to show that $T(\mathscr{E}_y)\cong\mathscr{E}_y[-1]$ for all $y\in\Gamma$. Note that ${}^\perp\langle\mathscr{O}_X,\mathcal{U}_+^*\rangle=\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{D^b}(\Gamma))$, and $\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathscr{O}_y)\cong\mathscr{E}_y$. Therefore, the natural isomorphism $T(\mathscr{E}_y)\cong\mathscr{E}_y[-1]$ proves that T is an autoequivalence of $\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{D^b}(\Gamma))$.

To prove $\mathbf{\Phi}^!\circ T=\tau\circ\mathbf{\Phi}^!$, it suffices to use (2.29). ☐

3. Rank 2 stable sheaves on prime Fano threefolds

In this section we present some results concerning rank 2 stable sheaves F with $c_1(F) = 1$ on a smooth non-hyperelliptic prime Fano threefold Y, with special attention to the case $g \geq 6$. We will first analyze the cases of minimal c_2 (see the next subsection) and then look for bundles with higher c_2.

3.1. Rank 2 stable sheaves with $c_1 = 1$ and minimal c_2.

We provide a lower bound on $c_2(F)$ for the existence of F, namely $\mathsf{M}_Y(2, 1, c_2)$ is non-empty if and only if $c_2(F) \geq m_g = \lceil \frac{g+2}{2} \rceil$. Then we give some properties of F in the cases $c_2 = m_g$ (see Proposition 3.5) and $c_2 = m_g + 1$ (see Proposition 3.7). This description is deeply inspired on the analysis of the case $g = 8$ pursued by Iliev and Manivel in [IM07]. Finally, we restate a result concerning non-emptyness and generic smoothness of this space (Theorem 3.8).

Lemma 3.1. *Let Y be a smooth non-hyperelliptic Fano threefold of genus g, and let F be a rank 2 stable sheaf on Y with $c_1(F) = H_Y$. Then we have:*

$$c_2(F) \geq \frac{g+2}{2}. \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. Let $S \subset Y$ be a general hyperplane section surface. Since Y is non-hyperelliptic, by Moishezon's theorem [Moĭ67], we have $\mathrm{Pic}(S) \cong \mathbb{Z} = \langle H_S \rangle$. Consider the restriction $F_S = F \otimes \mathscr{O}_S$ and notice that the sheaf F_S is still torsion-free. Moreover it is semistable by Maruyama's theorem ([Mar81]), hence stable since $c_1(F_S) = H_S$ and $\mathrm{Pic}(S) = \langle H_S \rangle$. Since S is a K3 surface, the dimension of the moduli space $\mathsf{M}_S(2, 1, c_2(F_S))$ equals $4\, c_2(F_S) - 2\, g - 4$. So this number has to be non-negative, and we obtain (3.1). □

In view of the previous lemma we define:

$$m_g = \left\lceil \frac{g+2}{2} \right\rceil. \tag{3.2}$$

Lemma 3.2. *Let C be a curve in $\mathscr{H}^0_d(Y)$, with $d < m_g$ Then C is Cohen-Macaulay and we have $\mathrm{H}^k(Y, \mathcal{I}_C) = 0$ for all k.*

Proof. First observe that the curve C has no isolated or embedded points. Indeed, the purely 1-dimensional piece $\tilde{C}$ of C is a curve of degree d and arithmetic genus ℓ, where ℓ is the length of the zero-dimensional piece of C. In order to see that, for $\ell > 0$, this leads to a contradiction, one notes that since $\mathrm{H}^0(Y, \mathcal{I}_{\tilde{C}}) = 0$, we have $\mathrm{h}^2(Y, \mathcal{I}_{\tilde{C}}) \geq \chi(\mathcal{I}_{\tilde{C}}) = \ell$. Thus we would have a non-zero element of $\mathrm{Ext}^1_Y(\mathcal{I}_C(1), \mathscr{O}_Y)$, corresponding to a rank 2 sheaf F with $c_1(F) = 1$, $c_2(F) = d$. It is easy to see that the sheaf F would be stable. Indeed, assuming that there exists a destabilizing torsion-free subsheaf K, then it is easy to check that $\mathrm{rk}(K) = 1$ and $c_1(K) = 1$ and we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & \\
 & & K \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d] & K \arrow[d] & \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathscr{O}_Y \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & F \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{I}_C(1) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathscr{O}_Y \arrow[r] & S \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & T \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
 & & 0 & 0 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where T has rank 0 and $c_1(T) = 0$. This implies that T is supported at a subvariety $Z \subset Y$ of dimension less than or equal to 1. It follows that $\mathrm{Ext}^1_Y(T, \mathscr{O}_Y) \cong \mathrm{H}^2(Z, T(-1))^* = 0$. Note that, by the above diagram, the element in $\mathrm{Ext}^1_Y(\mathcal{I}_C(1), \mathscr{O}_Y)$ corresponding to F (i.e. to the middle row) is the image of the element in $\mathrm{Ext}^1_Y(T,\mathscr{O}_Y)$ corresponding to S (the bottom row). But we have seen $\mathrm{Ext}^1_Y(T,\mathscr{O}_Y)=0$, so the middle row of the above diagram splits, a contradiction.

Hence the sheaf F is stable, thus contradicting Lemma 3.1. The above argument implies that the group $\mathrm{H}^2(Y,\mathcal{I}_C)$ vanishes. Note that this implies the statement by Riemann-Roch. □

Definition 3.3. A zero-dimensional subscheme Z of a smooth K3 surface S of Picard number 1 and sectional genus g is of type $\mathcal{Z}^k_\ell$ if it is locally a complete intersection, and if $\mathrm{len}(Z)=\ell, \mathrm{h}^1(S,\mathcal{I}_Z(1))=k$. In this case we have $\mathrm{h}^0(S,\mathcal{I}_Z(1))=(g+1)-\ell+k$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let S be a K3 surface of Picard number* 1 *and sectional genus g, and let $m=m_g$ be defined by* (3.2)*. Then S contains no subscheme of type $\mathcal{Z}^k_\ell$ for any $k\geq 1$ and for any $\ell\leq m+k-2$. Moreover if $g\geq 6$, then S contains no subscheme of type $\mathcal{Z}^k_\ell$ for any $k\geq 2$ and any $\ell\leq m+k-1$.*

Proof. We split the induction argument in two steps.

Step 1. *There are no subschemes of S of type $\mathcal{Z}^1_\ell$ for any $\ell\leq m-1$.*

It is easy to see that a point must be of type $\mathcal{Z}^0_1$, and that there exists no scheme of type $\mathcal{Z}^k_1$ for $k\geq 1$. Now consider a subscheme $Z\subset S$ of type $\mathcal{Z}^1_\ell$ for $\ell\geq 2$, and the sheaf F on S associated to Z by the non-trivial extension

$$0\to\mathscr{O}_S\to F\to\mathcal{I}_Z(1)\to 0. \tag{3.3}$$

Notice that $c_1(F)=1$, $c_2(F)=\ell$. If F is locally free, then it is stable since $F(-1)$ has no non-zero global sections. Hence we get $\ell\geq m$, since the quantity (2.11) must be non-negative. If F is not locally free, then the pair $(\mathscr{O}(1),Z)$ does not satisfy the Cayley-Bacharach property (see [HL97]) and so there exists a subscheme $Z'\subset Z$ of type $\mathcal{Z}^1_{\ell-1}$. Iterating this argument on Z' we obtain either that $\ell\geq m$ or that there is a scheme of type $\mathcal{Z}^1_1$ which is impossible.

By induction on $k\geq 1$ one easily proves that there are no subschemes of S of type $\mathcal{Z}^k_\ell$ with $\ell\leq m+k-2$.

Step 2. *We assume now that $g\geq 6$ and we prove that there are no subschemes of S of type $\mathcal{Z}^2_{m+1}$.* Suppose that Z is a subscheme of type $\mathcal{Z}^2_{m+1}$. Let F be the rank 3 sheaf associated to Z by the extension:

$$0\to\mathscr{O}_S\otimes\mathrm{H}^1(S,\mathcal{I}_Z(1))\to F\to\mathcal{I}_Z(1)\to 0. \tag{3.4}$$

Since by the previous step there exists no subscheme of type $\mathcal{Z}^2_m$, it follows that F is locally free. By (3.4), it follows that $\mathrm{H}^1(S,F)=\mathrm{H}^2(S,F)=0$. It is easy to see that the groups $\mathrm{H}^0(S,F^*)$ and $\mathrm{H}^0(S,F(-1))$ vanish, hence F is a rank 3 stable bundle on S with $c_1(F)=1$ and $c_2(F)=m+1$. By (2.11), the dimension of the moduli space $\mathsf{M}_S(3,1,c_2(F))$ equals $6\,c_2(F)-4\,g-12$ and for $g\geq 6$ this dimension is negative, a contradiction.

Finally by induction on $k\geq 2$ one easily proves that there are no subschemes of S of type $\mathcal{Z}^k_\ell$ with $\ell\leq m+k-1$.

□

The following proposition is essentially due to Iliev and Manivel, see [IM07].

Proposition 3.5 (Iliev-Manivel). *Let Y be a smooth non-hyperelliptic Fano threefold of genus g and set $m=m_g$. Let F be a rank 2 stable sheaf on Y, with $c_1(F)=1$, $c_2(F)=m$, $c_3(F)\geq 0$. Then F is a locally free sheaf and satisfies:*

$$\mathrm{H}^k(Y,F(-1))=0,\qquad \mathrm{H}^j(Y,F)=0,\qquad \text{for all } k\in\mathbb{Z} \text{ and for all } j\geq 1.$$

Moreover if $g\geq 6$, then F is also globally generated and ACM.

Proof. First of all one proves $\mathrm{H}^2(Y,F)=0$. Indeed any non-trivial element of $\mathrm{H}^2(Y,F)^* \cong \mathrm{Ext}^1_Y(F,\mathscr{O}_Y(-1))$ provides an extension of the form:

$$0 \to \mathscr{O}_Y(-1) \to \tilde{F} \to F \to 0,$$

where $\tilde{F}$ is a rank 3 sheaf which is easily seen to be semistable. This sheaf satisfies $c_1(\tilde{F})=0$ and $c_2(\tilde{F}) = m-(2g-2) < 0$, which contradicts Bogomolov's inequality (2.1). Since $\chi(F)=g+3-m \geq 0$, it follows that there exists a non-zero global section of F.

Fix now a general hyperplane section S of Y. We set $E=F^{**}$ and $E_S = E \otimes \mathscr{O}_S$. We can assume that E_S is locally free on S. The double dual exact sequence reads:

$$0 \to F \to E \to T \to 0, \tag{3.5}$$

where T is a torsion sheaf supported in codimension at least 2, so $c_1(T)=0$) and $c_2(T) \leq 0$. The sheaf E has rank 2 and $c_1(E)=1$.

Let us show that E is stable. Assuming the contrary, we let K be a destabilizing subsheaf of E, and we note that K must have rank 1 and $c_1(K)\geq 1$. We can write the following commutative exact diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & K' \arrow[r] \arrow[d, hook] & K \arrow[r] \arrow[d, hook] & K'' \arrow[r] \arrow[d, hook] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & F \arrow[r] & E \arrow[r] & T \arrow[r] & 0,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where K'' is the image of K in T and K' is defined by the diagram. Then the support of K'' is contained in the support of T, which has codimension at least 2, so that $c_1(K'')=0$. Thus $c_1(K')\geq 1$ and K' destabilizes F, a contradiction.

Since the sheaf E is stable, we have $\mathrm{H}^0(Y,E(-1))=0$ by stability and $\mathrm{H}^3(Y,E(-1)) = \mathrm{H}^3(Y,E)=0$ by Serre duality and stability. Indeed, $\mathrm{H}^3(Y,E(-1))$ is dual to $\mathrm{Hom}_Y(E,\mathscr{O}_X)$. This group is zero, for the image of a nontrivial map $E \to \mathscr{O}_X$ would clearly destabilize E. Similarly we see that $\mathrm{H}^3(Y,F)=0$.

Since $\mathrm{h}^0(Y,F)\neq 0$, one sees that $\mathrm{h}^0(Y,E)\neq 0$. Let Z be the zero locus of a general section of E_S. Note that Z has dimension zero and $\mathrm{len}(Z)=m$, and recall the exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathscr{O}_S \to E_S \to \mathcal{I}_Z(1) \to 0. \tag{3.6}$$

It is easy to prove that $\mathrm{H}^0(S,E_S(-1))=0$, hence E_S is stable by Hoppe's criterion. In particular we get $\mathrm{H}^2(S,E_S)^* \cong \mathrm{H}^0(S,E_S^*)=0$, so the induced map $\mathrm{H}^1(S,\mathcal{I}_Z(1)) \to \mathrm{H}^2(S,\mathscr{O}_S)$ is surjective.

We can now prove that F is locally free. Indeed, assuming the contrary, by the minimality of m, we must have $c_2(E)\geq c_2(F)$ and so we get $c_2(T)=c_2(E)-c_2(F)\geq 0$. Hence $c_2(T)$ vanishes. Thus the sheaf T is supported on a subscheme of codimension 3 and $c_3(T) = c_3(E)-c_3(F)\geq 0$. By Lemma 3.4 the subscheme Z must be of type $\mathscr{Z}^1_m$, hence from (3.6), using $\mathrm{H}^1(S,\mathscr{O}_S)=0$ and $\mathrm{H}^2(S,E_S)=0$, we get $\mathrm{H}^1(S,E_S)=0$. Then we consider the exact sequence:

$$0 \to E(-1) \to E \to E_S \to 0. \tag{3.7}$$

One can show $\mathrm{H}^2(Y,E)=0$, by the same argument that we used for F. Then, taking global sections, we obtain $\mathrm{H}^2(Y,E(-1))=0$, so:

$$\chi(E(-1)) = -\,\mathrm{h}^1(Y,E(-1)) \leq 0. \tag{3.8}$$

Since E is reflexive, by a straightforward generalization of [Har80, Proposition 2.6], we also have $\chi(E(-1)) = c_3(E)/2 \geq 0$. We deduce $c_3(E)=0$, so E is locally free. Moreover, from (3.8) we also obtain $\mathrm{H}^1(Y,E(-1))=0$. Note incidentally that, using (3.7), this implies also $\mathrm{H}^1(Y,E)=0$. Let us now observe that, since $c_3(E)=0$, the assumption $c_3(F)\geq 0$ forces $c_3(T)=0$. We have thus proved that $T=0$, so the sheaf F is isomorphic to E, hence it is locally free. Moreover, since $F\cong E$, we have proved the desired vanishing results too.

Now let us assume that $g \geq 6$ and show that F is globally generated. Following [IM07, Proposition 5.4] one reduces to show that for any point $x \in Y$ and for a general surface S' through x, the vector bundle $F_{S'} = F \otimes \mathscr{O}_{S'}$ is globally generated. Clearly it is enough to prove that $\mathcal{I}_Z(1)$ is globally generated, where we denote again by Z the zero locus of a general global section of $F_{S'}$. This amounts to show that Z is cut out scheme-theoretically by its linear span, in other word that Z cannot be contained in a subscheme $Z' \subset S'$ of type $\mathcal{Z}^2_{m+1}$. But no such subscheme exists by Lemma 3.4, as soon as $g \geq 6$.

It remains to show that F is ACM, and this follows by Griffith's theorem, [SS85, Theorem 5.52], since F is globally generated. □

Remark 3.6. Note that if F is an ACM bundle on a smooth prime Fano threefold Y and S is a hyperplane section surface, then the restriction F_S is ACM too. In particular if Y is a prime Fano threefold of genus 7, the bundle $\mathscr{E}_y$, introduced in the previous section, is ACM for any $y \in \mathsf{M}_Y(2, 1, 5)$ and its restriction to S is ACM too.

Proposition 3.7 (Iliev-Manivel)**.** *Let Y be a smooth non-hyperelliptic Fano threefold of genus $g \geq 6$ and set $m = m_g$. Let F be a rank 2 stable sheaf on Y, with $c_1(F) = 1$, $c_2(F) = m + 1$, $c_3(F) \geq 0$. Then either F is a locally free sheaf or there exists an exact sequence:*

$$0 \to F \to E \to \mathscr{O}_L \to 0, \tag{3.9}$$

where E is as in Proposition 3.5 and L is a line contained in Y. Moreover, we have:

$$\mathrm{H}^k(Y, F(-1)) = \mathrm{H}^k(Y, F) = 0, \qquad \text{for } k \geq 1. \tag{3.10}$$

Proof. We work as in the previous proposition. By the same argument as before, we see that (3.10) holds for $k = 3$.

We prove now that $\mathrm{H}^2(Y, F) = 0$, (since $m + 1 < 2g - 2$ as soon as $g > 3$). If F is not locally free, then we consider the sheaves $E = F^{**}$ and $T = E/F$ and the exact sequence (3.5). The same proof as in Proposition 3.5 proves that E is a stable sheaf. Recall that no subscheme of type $\mathcal{Z}^k_{m+1}$ for $k \geq 2$ exists by Lemma 3.4 since $g \geq 6$. So the same argument we used in the previous proof to establish the property of being locally free this time proves that $c_2(E) = m$ and $c_2(T) = -1$. Since E is reflexive (and thus $c_3(E) \geq 0$), Proposition 3.5 implies that E is locally free and globally generated.

Therefore the support of T is a line $L \subset Y$, and we may take a general hyperplane section S such that $L \cap S = x$, a point of Y. A general global section of F_S (respectively, of E_S) vanishes on a subscheme $Z' \subset S$ (respectively, $Z \subset S$), the scheme Z is of type $\mathcal{Z}^1_m$, and we have:

$$0 \to \mathcal{I}_{Z'}(1) \to \mathcal{I}_Z(1) \to \mathscr{O}_x \to 0. \tag{3.11}$$

Since the sheaf E is globally generated, $\mathcal{I}_Z(1)$ is too, hence Z is cut sheaf-theoretically by hyperplanes. Then the map $\mathrm{H}^0(S, \mathcal{I}_{Z'}(1)) \to \mathrm{H}^0(S, \mathcal{I}_Z(1))$ induced by (3.11) is not an isomorphism. We obtain $\mathrm{h}^1(S, \mathcal{I}_{Z'}(1)) = 1$, which easily implies $\mathrm{H}^1(S, F_S) = 0$. At this point, following the argument of Proposition 3.5, one can easily prove the following chain of implications:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{H}^2(Y, F) = \mathrm{H}^1(S, F_S) = 0 \Rightarrow \mathrm{H}^2(Y, F(-1)) = 0 \Rightarrow c_3(F) = 0 \Rightarrow \\ &\Rightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(Y, F(-1)) = 0 \Rightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(Y, F) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the vanishing (3.10).

Now we mimic a technique of Druel, see [Dru00]. Namely, since $\mathrm{H}^1(Y, F(-1)) = 0$, we have $\mathrm{H}^0(Y, T(-1)) = 0$. It follows that T is a Cohen-Macaulay curve and by a Hilbert polynomial computation we obtain $T \cong \mathscr{O}_L$ for a certain line $L \subset Y$. □

Finally, we restate a result summarizing the information we have on the moduli space $\mathsf{M}_Y(2, 1, m_g)$, see [BF08, Theorem 3.2]. Recall that the non-emptyness of this space is derived from a case by case analysis, going back to [Mad00] for $g = 3$, [Mad02] for $g = 4, 5$, [Gus82] for $g = 6$, [IM04a], [IM07c], [Kuz05], for $g = 7$, [Gus83], [Gus92], [Muk89] for $g = 8$, [IR05] for $g = 9$, [Muk89] for $g = 10$, [Kuz96] (see also [Sch01], [Fae07]) for $g = 12$.

Theorem 3.8. *Let Y be a smooth non-hyperelliptic prime Fano threefold of genus g. Then the space $\mathsf{M}_Y(2, 1, m_g)$ is not empty and any sheaf F in it is ACM and satisfies:*

$$F \otimes \mathscr{O}_L \cong \mathscr{O}_L \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(1), \qquad \text{for some line } L \subset Y. \tag{3.12}$$

Assume further that Y is ordinary if $g = 3$ and that Y is contained in a smooth quadric if $g = 4$. Then the space $\mathsf{M}_Y(2, 1, m_g)$ contains a sheaf F satisfying:

$$\mathrm{Ext}^2_Y(F, F) = 0. \tag{3.13}$$

The dimension of $\mathsf{M}_Y(2, 1, m_g)$ is 0 if g is even and 1 if g is odd.

For a more accurate description on the space $\mathsf{M}_Y(2, 1, m_g)$ we refer to [BF08] and the references therein.

3.2. **A good component of the moduli space $\mathsf{M}_Y(2, 1, d)$.** Throughout this section we will assume that Y is a non-hyperelliptic smooth prime Fano threefold. We will construct a good (in the sense specified by Theorem 3.11) component of the space $\mathsf{M}_Y(2, 1, d)$, and for this we will need to assume that Y is ordinary. In particular we will assume that the Hilbert scheme $\mathscr{H}^0_1(Y)$ has a generically smooth component. In case $g = 4$ we will have to assume that Y is contained in a smooth quadric in $\mathbb{P}^5$, due to the restriction appearing in the previous theorem.

We start with the next proposition, which attributes a particular emphasis to the condition $\mathrm{H}^1(Y, F(-1)) = 0$. We think of it as an evidence that this condition is analogous to the vanishing $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathbb{P}^3, E(-2)) = 0$ required for E in $\mathsf{M}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2, 0, d)$ to be an instanton bundle, see [ADHM78]. Note that the condition $\mathrm{H}^1(Y, F(-1)) = 0$ holds for any F in $\mathsf{M}_Y(2, 1, d)$, with $d = m_g$ (Proposition 3.5) and $d = m_g + 1$ (Proposition 3.7).

Proposition 3.9. *Let Y be a smooth prime Fano threefold and d an integer. If a sheaf $F \in \mathsf{M}_Y(2, 1, d)$ satisfies $\mathrm{H}^1(Y, F(-1)) = 0$, then we have the following vanishings:*

$$\mathrm{H}^k(Y, F(-1)) = 0, \quad \text{for any } k, \tag{3.14}$$

$$\mathrm{H}^1(Y, F(-t)) = 0, \quad \text{for any } t \geq 1. \tag{3.15}$$

Proof. First let us prove (3.14). By stability and Serre duality, we have $\mathrm{H}^0(Y, F(-1)) = \mathrm{H}^3(Y, F(-1)) = 0$. By (2.9) it is easy to compute that $\chi(F(-1)) = 0$, and this implies the vanishing for $k = 2$.

In order to prove (3.15), let us take a general hyperplane section S of Y. Then we have the restriction exact sequence, for any integer t,

$$0 \to F(-1-t) \to F(-t) \to F_S(-t) \to 0. \tag{3.16}$$

Note that the sheaf F_S is semistable, by Maruyama's theorem. This implies $\mathrm{H}^0(Y, F_S(-t)) = 0$ for any $t \geq 1$. Then, taking cohomology of (3.16), we deduce that $\mathrm{H}^1(Y, F(-t)) = 0$, for any $t \geq 1$. ☐

Now, we construct inductively a component of $\mathsf{M}_Y(2, 1, d)$, for all $d \geq m_g$. This component is generically smooth of the expected dimension and its general element F is locally free and satisfies $\mathrm{H}^1(Y, F(-1)) = 0$. To do so we note that, given a locally free sheaf E in $\mathsf{M}_Y(2, 1, d)$ and a line $L \subset Y$, assuming that $E \otimes \mathscr{O}_L \cong \mathscr{O}_L \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(1)$, by projecting E onto $E \otimes \mathscr{O}_L$ and then to $\mathscr{O}_L$ we get a sheaf F lying into an exact sequence of the form:

$$0 \to F \to E \to \mathscr{O}_L \to 0. \tag{3.17}$$

Lemma 3.10. *Let Y be a smooth ordinary prime Fano threefold of genus g, let $L \subset Y$ be a line belonging to a generically smooth irreducible component H of $\mathscr{H}^0_1(Y)$ and choose an irreducible component M of $\mathsf{M}_Y(2,1,d)$, with $\dim(\mathsf{M}) = n$. Assume that there is a locally free sheaf E in M_Y such that $E \otimes \mathscr{O}_L \cong \mathscr{O}_L \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(1)$.*

Then the set of sheaves F fitting in (3.17) with $E \in \mathsf{M}$ and $L \in \mathsf{H}$ is an irreducible $(n+1)$-dimensional locally closed subset of $\mathsf{M}_Y(2,1,d+1)$.

Proof. Let E be a locally free sheaf lying in M_Y. It is easy to see that a sheaf F lying into an exact sequence of the form (3.17) is a rank 2 stable (non-reflexive) sheaf with $c_1(F) = 1$, $c_2(F) = d+1$, $c_3(F) = 0$.

Note that the condition $E \otimes \mathscr{O}_L \cong \mathscr{O}_L \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(1)$ is equivalent to $\hom_Y(E, \mathscr{O}_L) = 1$, and also to $\mathrm{Ext}^1_Y(E, \mathscr{O}_L) = 0$. By assumption and using semicontinuity (see [BPS80, Satz 3 (i)]), we see that this vanishing takes place for general $L \in \mathsf{H}$ and for general $E \in \mathsf{M}$.

Observe that a surjective map $E \to \mathscr{O}_L$ exists if and only if the previous vanishing holds. Since $\hom_Y(E, \mathscr{O}_L) = 1$, the map $\sigma : E \to \mathscr{O}_L$ is unique up to a non-zero scalar, so the kernel of σ is determined (up to isomorphism) by E and L.

Therefore we have a rational map $\mathsf{M} \times \mathsf{H} \to \mathsf{M}_Y(2,1,d+1)$ which associates to the general member of $\mathsf{M} \times \mathsf{H}$ the sheaf $F = \ker(\sigma)$, where σ generates $\mathrm{Hom}_Y(E, \mathscr{O}_L)$. This map is generically injective, since E is recovered as F^{**} and $\mathscr{O}_L$ as the quotient F/F^{**}. Note that both M and H are irreducible, respectively of dimension n, and 1. Thus the image of the rational map above is irreducible locally closed of dimension $n+1$. □

Theorem 3.11. *Let Y be a smooth ordinary prime Fano threefold of genus g, and if $g = 4$ we further assume that Y is contained in a smooth quadric in $\mathbb{P}^5$. Let $m = m_g$, let $L \subset Y$ be a line in Y with $N_L \cong \mathscr{O}_L \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(-1)$, and let x be a point of L. Then, for any integer $d \geq m$, there exists a rank 2 stable locally free sheaf F_d with $c_1(F_d) = 1$, $c_2(F_d) = d$, and satisfying:*

$$\mathrm{Ext}^2_Y(F_d, F_d) = 0, \tag{3.18}$$

$$\mathrm{H}^1(Y, F_d(-1)) = 0, \tag{3.19}$$

$$\mathrm{H}^0(L, F_d(-2\,x)) = 0. \tag{3.20}$$

The sheaf F_d thus belongs to a generically smooth component of $\mathsf{M}_Y(2,1,d)$ of dimension:

$$2d - g - 2.$$

Proof. We work by induction on $d \geq m$. For $d = m$, the sheaf F provided by Theorem 3.8 satisfies all our requirements. Indeed, the only property that we need to check in this case is (3.20), but this is clear since we may assume that (3.12) holds for a line L such that $N_L \cong \mathscr{O}_L \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(-1)$.

Let us now construct a sheaf F_d in $\mathsf{M}_Y(2,1,d)$. By induction we can choose a rank 2 locally free sheaf F_{d-1} with $c_1(F_{d-1}) = 1$ (so that $F^*_{d-1} \cong F_{d-1}(-1)$), $c_2(F_{d-1}) = d-1$, satisfying $\mathrm{Ext}^2_Y(F_{d-1}, F_{d-1}) = 0$, $\mathrm{H}^1(Y, F_{d-1}(-1)) = 0$, and $\mathrm{H}^0(L, F_{d-1}(-2\,x)) = 0$. From the last vanishing it easily follows that $F_{d-1} \otimes \mathscr{O}_L \cong \mathscr{O}_L \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(x)$. Therefore there exists a (unique up to a non-zero scalar) surjective morphism $F_{d-1} \otimes \mathscr{O}_L \to \mathscr{O}_L$. Then we get a projection σ as the composition of surjective morphisms: $F_{d-1} \to F_{d-1} \otimes \mathscr{O}_L \to \mathscr{O}_L$. We denote by F_d the kernel of σ and we have the exact sequence:

$$0 \to F_d \to F_{d-1} \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathscr{O}_L \to 0. \tag{3.21}$$

We claim that the sheaf F_d lies in $\mathsf{M}_Y(2,1,d)$ and satisfies (3.18), (3.19) and (3.20). In view of Lemma 3.10, F_d is a stable torsion-free sheaf with $c_1(F_d) = 1$ and $c_2(F_d) = d$. We have $\mathrm{H}^1(Y, F_d(-1)) = 0$ since $\mathrm{H}^1(Y, F_{d-1}(-1)) = 0$ by induction and $\mathrm{H}^0(Y, \mathscr{O}_L(-1)) = 0$. So (3.19) holds.

In order to prove (3.18), let us apply the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_Y(F_d, -)$ to (3.21). This gives the exact sequence:

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_Y(F_d, \mathscr{O}_L) \to \mathrm{Ext}^2_Y(F_d, F_d) \to \mathrm{Ext}^2_Y(F_d, F_{d-1}).$$

We will prove that both the first and the last terms of the above sequence vanish. To prove the vanishing of the latter, apply $\mathrm{Hom}_Y(-, F_{d-1})$ to the exact sequence (3.21). We get the exact sequence:

$$\mathrm{Ext}^2_Y(F_{d-1}, F_{d-1}) \to \mathrm{Ext}^2_Y(F_d, F_{d-1}) \to \mathrm{Ext}^3_Y(\mathscr{O}_L, F_{d-1}).$$

By induction, we have $\mathrm{Ext}^2_Y(F_{d-1}, F_{d-1}) = 0$. Serre duality yields:

$$\mathrm{Ext}^3_Y(\mathscr{O}_L, F_{d-1})^* \cong \mathrm{H}^0(Y, \mathscr{O}_L \otimes F^*_{d-1}(-1)) \cong \mathrm{H}^0(L, F_{d-1}(-2x)) = 0.$$

Therefore we obtain $\mathrm{Ext}^2_Y(F_d, F_{d-1}) = 0$. To show the vanishing of the group $\mathrm{Ext}^1_Y(F_d, \mathscr{O}_L)$, we apply the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_Y(-, \mathscr{O}_L)$ to (3.21). We are left with the exact sequence:

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_Y(F_{d-1}, \mathscr{O}_L) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_Y(F_d, \mathscr{O}_L) \to \mathrm{Ext}^2_Y(\mathscr{O}_L, \mathscr{O}_L).$$

The rightmost term vanishes by Remark 2.1. By Serre duality on L we get $\mathrm{Ext}^1_Y(F_{d-1}, \mathscr{O}_L) \cong \mathrm{H}^1(L, F^*_{d-1}) \cong \mathrm{H}^0(L, F_{d-1}(-2x))^*$. But this group vanishes by induction. We have thus established (3.18). Note that, since clearly $\mathrm{Hom}_Y(F_d, F_d) = \mathrm{Ext}^3_Y(F_d, F_d) = 0$ by stability, Riemann-Roch gives:

$$\mathrm{ext}^1_Y(F_d, F_d) = 2\,d - g - 2, \tag{3.22}$$

Now let us prove property (3.20). Tensoring (3.21) by $\mathscr{O}_L$ we get the following exact sequence of sheaves on L

$$0 \to \mathscr{T}or_1^Y(\mathscr{O}_L, \mathscr{O}_L) \to F_d \otimes \mathscr{O}_L \to F_{d-1} \otimes \mathscr{O}_L \to \mathscr{O}_L \to 0. \tag{3.23}$$

By (2.3) we know that $\mathscr{T}or_1^Y(\mathscr{O}_L, \mathscr{O}_L) \cong N^*_L \cong \mathscr{O}_L \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(x)$, since L is general in $\mathscr{H}^0_1(Y)$ and Y is ordinary. Now we twist (3.23) by $\mathscr{O}_L(-2x)$ and take global sections. By induction $\mathrm{H}^0(L, F_{d-1}(-2\,x)) = 0$, so our claim (3.20) follows easily.

Finally, we would like to flatly deform the sheaf F_d to a stable vector bundle F, and we claim that (3.18), (3.19), (3.20) will hold for F too. Indeed, (3.19) and (3.18) will still hold on F by semicontinuity (see [Har77, Theorem 12.8] and [BPS80, Satz 3 (i)]). In order to prove (3.20), we notice that $\mathrm{H}^0(X, F_d \otimes \mathscr{O}_L(-2)) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^2(\mathscr{O}_L, F_d(-1))$. Since the sheaves $\mathscr{O}_L$ form a flat family over the deformation space, we conclude that (3.20) holds for F again by semicontinuity, see [BPS80].

We note further that the equality $\mathrm{ext}^1_Y(F, F) = 2\,d - g - 2$ implies here that F lies in a generically smooth component of $\mathsf{M}_Y(2, 1, d)$ of dimension $2d - g - 2$.

Thus it only remains to check that a general deformation F of F_d in $\mathsf{M}_Y(2, 1, d)$ is a locally free sheaf. In order to show this, we consider the double dual exact sequence:

$$0 \to F \to F^{**} \to T \to 0, \tag{3.24}$$

where T is a torsion sheaf whose support W has dimension at most 1. We would like to prove $T = 0$. Is is easy to see that F^{**} is stable. This implies $\mathrm{H}^0(Y, F^{**}(-1)) = 0$ which in turn gives $\mathrm{H}^0(Y, T(-1)) = 0$, so $W = \mathrm{supp}(T)$ contains no isolated or embedded points, i.e. it is a Cohen-Macaulay curve.

We will now argue that the exact sequence (3.24) is not of the form (3.21). Indeed, recall that the variety $\mathsf{M}_Y(2, 1, d-1)$ is smooth at the point corresponding to the locally free sheaf F_{d-1}, since $\mathrm{Ext}^2_Y(F_{d-1}, F_{d-1}) = 0$ by induction hypothesis. Let M be the component of $\mathsf{M}_Y(2, 1, d-1)$ containing F_{d-1} and H the component of $\mathscr{H}^0_1(Y)$ containing L. The dimension of M equals $\mathrm{ext}^1_Y(F_{d-1}, F_{d-1}) = 2\,d - g - 4$. So by Lemma 3.10, the set of sheaves fitting as kernel of (3.21), with the middle term lying in M, has dimension $2\,d - g - 3$. Now, if for a general element F in an irreducible open neighborhood of F_d, the sequence (3.24) was of the form (3.21), then the sheaf F^{**} would lie in M (for F^{**} specializes to F_{d-1}) and the quotient T would lie in H (for T specializes to $\mathscr{O}_L$). But we have proved that the set of such sheaves F has dimension $2\,d - g - 3$, while F lies in a component of dimension one greater (see (3.22)).

Finally, let us show that, assuming $T \neq 0$, we are lead to a contradiction: this will finish the proof. To do this, we show that the support W of T must have degree 1. In fact we prove that $c_2(T) = -1$, and we only need to show $c_2(T) \geq -1$. Note first the equality $\chi(T(t)) = -\mathrm{h}^1(Y, T(t))$ for any negative integer t. Recall that, by [Har80, Remark 2.5.1], we have $\mathrm{H}^1(Y, F^{**}(t)) = 0$ for all $t \ll 0$. Thus, tensoring (3.21) by $\mathscr{O}_Y(t)$ and taking cohomology, we obtain $\mathrm{h}^1(Y, T(t)) \leq \mathrm{h}^2(Y, F(t))$ for all $t \ll 0$. Further, for any integer t, we can compute:

$$c_1(T(t)) = 0, \quad c_2(T(t)) = c_2(T) = d - c_2(F^{**}), \quad c_3(T(t)) = c_3(F^{**}) - (2t+1)c_2(T),$$

hence by Riemann-Roch:

$$\chi(T(t)) = -c_2(T)(t+1) + \frac{c_3(F^{**})}{2}.$$

Since F is a deformation of F_d, semicontinuity gives $\mathrm{h}^2(Y, F(t)) \leq \mathrm{h}^2(Y, F_d(t))$. Now, (3.21) provides $\mathrm{h}^2(Y, F_d(t)) = \mathrm{h}^1(Y, \mathscr{O}_L(t)) = -\chi(\mathscr{O}_L(t)) = -t-1$. Summing up we have, for all $t \ll 0$:

$$-(t+1)c_2(T) + \frac{c_3(F^{**})}{2} \geq t+1.$$

This implies that $c_2(T) \geq -1 + \frac{c_3(F^{**})}{2(t+1)}$ for all $t \ll 0$, which implies $c_2(T) \geq -1$.

We have thus proved that T is of the form $\mathscr{O}_L(a)$, for some $L \in \mathscr{H}_1^0(Y)$, and for some integer a. Then $c_3(T) = c_3(F^{**}) = 1 + 2\,a$, so $a \geq 0$, see [Har80, Proposition 2.6]. On the other hand we have seen $\mathrm{H}^0(Y, T(-1)) = 0$, so $a \leq 0$. But then T should be of the form $\mathscr{O}_L$, a contradiction. $\square$

By Theorem 3.11 and Lemma 3.10 we can pose the following.

Definition 3.12. Choose a component $\mathsf{M}(m_g)$ of the moduli space $\mathsf{M}_Y(2, 1, m_g)$ containing a sheaf F satisfying the properties listed in Theorem 3.8. Then, for each $d \geq m_g + 1$, we recursively define $\mathsf{N}(d)$ as the set of non-reflexive sheaves fitting as kernel in an exact sequence of the form (3.21), with $F_{d-1} \in \mathsf{M}(d-1)$, and $\mathsf{M}(d)$ as the component of the moduli scheme $\mathsf{M}_Y(2, 1, d)$ containing $\mathsf{N}(d)$. In view of Theorem 3.11 the component $\mathsf{M}(d)$ is generically smooth of dimension $2d - g - 2$ and contains $\mathsf{N}(d)$ as an irreducible divisor.

Remark 3.13. Making use of Theorem 3.11, it is possible to classify all ACM bundles of rank 2 and $c_1 = 1$ on smooth non-hyperelliptic ordinary prime Fano threefolds. We refer to [BF08] for a complete investigation.

4. Rational cubics on Fano threefolds of genus 7

Let X be a smooth prime Fano threefold of genus 7, and let Γ be its homologically projectively dual curve. For $1 \leq d \leq 4$, the subset of $\mathscr{H}_d^0(X)$ containing rational normal curves is described by the results of [IM07c]. It is known to have dimension d, and to be isomorphic to $W^1_{1,5}$ for $d = 1$, isomorphic to $\Gamma^{(2)}$ for $d = 2$, and birational to $\Gamma^{(3)}$ for $d = 3$. The isomorphism $\mathscr{H}_2^0(X) \cong \Gamma^{(2)}$ was also proved by Kuznetsov, making use of the semiorthogonal decomposition of $\mathbf{D^b}(X)$.

Here we make more precise the result on cubics, showing that the Hilbert scheme $\mathscr{H}_3^0(X)$ is in fact isomorphic to the symmetric cube $\Gamma^{(3)}$.

The following result is due to Iliev-Markushevich, [IM07c]. This reformulation will be used further on.

Lemma 4.1 (Iliev-Markushevich)**.** *Let X be a smooth prime Fano threefold of genus 7. Then we have the following two bijective morphisms:*

$$\mathscr{H}_1^0(X) \to W^1_{1,5}, \qquad L \mapsto \mathbf{\Phi}^!(\mathscr{O}_L)[-1], \tag{4.1}$$

$$\mathscr{H}_1^0(X) \to W^2_{1,7}, \qquad L \mapsto \mathbf{\Phi}^!(\mathscr{O}_L(-1)). \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. For any $y \in \Gamma$, we have $\mathscr{E}_y^* \otimes \mathscr{O}_L \cong \mathscr{O}_L \oplus \mathscr{O}_L(-1)$, so $\mathrm{h}^0(X, \mathscr{E}_y^* \otimes \mathscr{O}_L) = 1$. Indeed $\mathscr{E}_y$ is globally generated for each y and has degree 1 on L. So $\mathcal{H}^k(\mathbf{\Phi}^!(\mathscr{O}_L))_y = 0$ for all $y \in \Gamma$ and all $k \neq -1$. Hence $\mathbf{\Phi}^!(\mathscr{O}_L)[-1]$ is a line bundle on Γ, and has degree 5 by Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch. Now observe that, using (2.34) and the spectral sequence (2.4), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma, \mathbf{\Phi}^!(\mathscr{O}_L)[-1]) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathscr{O}_\Gamma), \mathscr{O}_L[-1]) \cong \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathscr{O}_\Gamma)), \mathscr{O}_L) \cong \\ &\cong \mathrm{H}^0(L, \mathcal{U}_+^*(-1)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{U}_+^*$ is globally generated and $c_1(\mathcal{U}_+^*) = 2$, one sees easily that this space must have dimension 2. So $\mathbf{\Phi}^!(\mathscr{O}_L)[-1]$ lies in $W^1_{1,5}$. Denote $\mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma, \mathbf{\Phi}^!(\mathscr{O}_L)[-1])$ by A_L.

To show that our map is injective, first observe that $\mathrm{Ext}^k_X(\mathscr{O}_L, \mathscr{O}_X) = 0$ for all k, while $\mathrm{Ext}^k_X(\mathscr{O}_L, \mathcal{U}_+) = 0$ for $k \neq 3$, and $\mathrm{Ext}^3_X(\mathscr{O}_L, \mathcal{U}_+) = A_L^*$. Making use of the exact triangle (2.23), this gives the isomorphisms:

$$\mathcal{H}^k(\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{\Phi}^!(\mathscr{O}_L))) \cong \begin{cases} \mathscr{O}_L & \text{for } k = 0, \\ A_L \otimes \mathcal{U}_+^* & \text{for } k = -1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{4.3}$$

Then $\mathcal{H}^0(\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{\Phi}^!(\mathscr{O}_L))) \cong \mathscr{O}_L$, and we have injectivity of (4.1). Surjectivity follows, since $\mathscr{H}^0_1(X)$ and $W^1_{1,5}$ are isomorphic by [IM07c, Proposition 2.1].

Set now $\mathcal{L} = \mathbf{\Phi}^!(\mathscr{O}_L)[-1] \in W^1_{1,5}$. Applying (2.29), since $\mathbf{R}\mathscr{H}om_X(\mathscr{O}_L, \mathscr{O}_X)[2] \cong \mathscr{O}_L(-1)$, we obtain the functorial isomorphism:

$$\mathbf{\Phi}^!(\mathscr{O}_L(-1)) \cong \mathcal{L}^* \otimes \omega_\Gamma.$$

Clearly the degree of $\mathcal{L}^* \otimes \omega_\Gamma$ is 7 and by Serre duality we get $\mathrm{h}^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}^* \otimes \omega_\Gamma) = \mathrm{h}^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}) = 3$, hence the sheaf $\mathcal{L}^* \otimes \omega_\Gamma$ lies in $W^2_{1,7}$. This says that the map appearing in (4.2) is everywhere defined. Hence is it bijective since the map defined by (4.1) is. □

Remark 4.2. In view of the isomorphism $\mathscr{H}^0_1(X) \cong W^1_{1,5}$, we note that the threefold X is exotic if and only if $W^1_{1,5}$ has a component which is non-reduced at any point. It is well-known (see e.g. [ACGH85, Proposition 4.2]) that $\mathcal{L}$ is a singular point of $W^1_{1,5}$ if and only if the Petri map:

$$\pi_{\mathcal{L}} : \mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}) \otimes \mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}^* \otimes \omega_\Gamma) \to \mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma, \omega_\Gamma).$$

is not injective. Note that, since the curve Γ is not tetragonal, any line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ in $W^1_{1,5}$ is globally generated. Therefore $\ker(\pi_{\mathcal{L}})$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}^* \otimes \mathcal{L}^* \otimes \omega_\Gamma)$.

This proves that the threefold X is exotic if and only if Γ admits infinitely many line bundles $\mathcal{L}$ in $W^1_{1,5}$ such that $\mathcal{L}^* \otimes \mathcal{L}^* \otimes \omega_\Gamma$ is effective.

Our next goal is to investigate the Hilbert scheme $\mathscr{H}^0_3(X)$. We will need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.3. *Let C be any Cohen-Macaulay curve of degree $d \geq 3$ and arithmetic genus p_a contained in X. Then $\mathbf{\Phi}^!(\mathscr{O}_C)$ is a vector bundle on Γ of rank $d - 2 + 2p_a$ and degree $7\,d - 12 + 6\,p_a$.*

Proof. The following argument is inspired on the proof of [Kuz05, Lemma 5.1]. We have to prove that, for each $y \in \Gamma$, the group $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \mathscr{E}_y^* \otimes \mathscr{O}_C)$ vanishes. By (2.26), it is enough to prove:

$$\mathrm{H}^0(C, \mathcal{U}_+) = 0. \tag{4.4}$$

Assume the contrary, and consider a non-zero global section u in $\mathrm{H}^0(C, \mathcal{U}_+)$. Let U be the 1-dimensional subspace spanned by u. By (2.13), we have $U \subset \mathrm{H}^0(C, \mathcal{U}_+) \subset \mathrm{H}^0(C, \mathscr{O}_X \otimes V) \cong V$. Set $V' = U^\perp/U$. Then the orthogonal Grassmann variety $\mathbb{G}_Q(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathbb{P}(V')) \subset \Sigma_+$ is a quadric and clearly the curve C is contained in $X' := \mathbb{G}_Q(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathbb{P}(V')) \cap X$. Recall that X is a linear section of Σ^+, then X must contain either a 2-dimensional quadric or a plane. But this is impossible by Lefschetz theorem.

This proves that $\mathbf{\Phi}^!(\mathscr{O}_C)$ is a vector bundle on Γ. By Riemann-Roch formula we conclude that its rank is $-\chi(\mathscr{E}_y^* \otimes \mathscr{O}_C) = d - 2 + 2p_a$. Finally, by Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch we compute the degree of $\mathbf{\Phi}^!(\mathscr{O}_C)$. □

We are now in position to prove the following result.

Theorem 4.4. *Let X be a smooth prime Fano threefold of genus 7, and Γ be its homologically projectively dual curve. The map $\psi : \mathscr{O}_C \mapsto (\mathbf{\Phi}^!(\mathscr{O}_C))^* \otimes \omega_\Gamma$ gives an isomorphism between $\mathscr{H}_3^0(X)$ and $\Gamma^{(3)}$. In particular $\mathscr{H}_3^0(X)$ is a smooth irreducible threefold.*

Proof. We have seen in Lemma 4.3 that, for any element C of $\mathscr{H}_3^0(X)$, the sheaf $\mathcal{L} = \mathbf{\Phi}^!(\mathscr{O}_C)$ is a line bundle of degree 9 on Γ.

Let us show that $\mathcal{L}$ lies in $W^3_{1,9}$. Note first that, for any $x \in X$, we have $\mathrm{h}^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathscr{E}_x) = \mathrm{h}^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}^* \otimes \mathscr{E}_x)$ by Serre duality. Hence, by stability of $\mathscr{E}_x$ and since $\mathcal{L}$ has degree 9, we get $\mathrm{h}^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathscr{E}_x) = 0$, for all $x \in X$, hence $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathcal{L})) = 0$. So, by the decomposition (2.23) applied to the sheaf $\mathscr{O}_C$, the cohomology of the complex $(\mathbf{\Psi}(\mathbf{\Psi}^*(\mathscr{O}_C)))$ can appear only in degree -1 or 0. By formula (2.24), we have $(\mathbf{\Psi}(\mathbf{\Psi}^*(\mathscr{O}_C)))^k = 0$ for $k \neq -1, -2, -3$ and

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathbf{\Psi}(\mathbf{\Psi}^*(\mathscr{O}_C)))^{-3} &= \mathscr{O}_X^a,\\ (\mathbf{\Psi}(\mathbf{\Psi}^*(\mathscr{O}_C)))^{-2} &= \mathscr{O}_X^{a+2} \oplus \mathcal{U}_+^{*\,b},\\ (\mathbf{\Psi}(\mathbf{\Psi}^*(\mathscr{O}_C)))^{-1} &= \mathcal{U}_+^{*\,b+4},\end{aligned}$$

where $a = \mathrm{h}^0(C, \mathscr{O}_X(-1))$ and $b = \mathrm{h}^0(C, \mathcal{U}_+^*(-1))$, and clearly, by Riemann-Roch formula, $a + 2 = \mathrm{h}^1(C, \mathscr{O}_X(-1))$ and $b + 4 = \mathrm{h}^1(C, \mathcal{U}_+^*(-1))$. It follows that the cohomology of the complex $(\mathbf{\Psi}(\mathbf{\Psi}^*(\mathscr{O}_C)))$ is concentrated in degree -1. Moreover since $\mathcal{H}^k(\mathbf{\Psi}(\mathbf{\Psi}^*(\mathscr{O}_C))) = 0$ for $k = -3$ and $k = -2$, then we have that the differential $d^{-2} : \mathscr{O}_X^a \to \mathscr{O}_X^{a+2} \oplus \mathcal{U}_+^{*\,b}$ is injective and that $\ker(d^{-1}) = \mathrm{Im}(d^{-2})$.

Let us show that $a = b = 0$. By the minimality of the complex (see Remark 2.4), the differentials in the complex $(\mathbf{\Psi}(\mathbf{\Psi}^*(\mathscr{O}_C)))$ take the form:

$$d^{-2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ d_2^{-2} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad d^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} d_1^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus $\ker(d^{-1}) = \ker(d_1^{-1}) \oplus \mathcal{U}_+^{*\,b}$, and $\mathrm{Im}(d^{-2}) = \mathrm{Im}(d_2^{-2}) \subset \mathcal{U}_+^{*\,b}$. Since $\ker(d^{-1}) = \mathrm{Im}(d^{-2})$, we have $\ker(d_1^{-1}) = 0$ and $\mathrm{Im}(d_2^{-2}) = \mathcal{U}_+^{*\,b}$. Then d_2^{-2} is an isomorphism of $\mathscr{O}_X^a$ to $\mathcal{U}_+^{*\,b}$, which implies $a = b = 0$.

We have thus:

$$\mathrm{h}^1(C, \mathscr{O}_X(-1)) = 2, \qquad \mathrm{H}^0(C, \mathscr{O}_X(-1)) = 0. \tag{4.5}$$

$$\mathrm{h}^1(C, \mathcal{U}_+^*(-1)) = 4, \qquad \mathrm{H}^0(C, \mathcal{U}_+^*(-1)) = 0, \tag{4.6}$$

and we get the following exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathscr{O}_X^2 \xrightarrow{d^{-1}} (\mathcal{U}_+^*)^4 \xrightarrow{\zeta_C} \mathbf{\Phi}(\mathcal{L}) \to \mathscr{O}_C \to 0. \tag{4.7}$$

Then we have:

$$\mathrm{h}^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}) = \hom_\Gamma(\mathscr{O}_\Gamma, \mathcal{L}) = \hom_\Gamma(\mathbf{\Phi}^*(\mathcal{U}_+^*), \mathcal{L}) = \hom_X(\mathcal{U}_+^*, \mathbf{\Phi}(\mathcal{L})) = 4,$$

where the last equality is obtained applying the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}_+^*, -)$ to the exact sequence (4.7) and using the fact that the group $\mathrm{H}^0(C, \mathcal{U}_+)$ vanishes by (4.4). We have thus proved that $\mathcal{L}$ is an element of $W^3_{1,9}$. This defines a morphism $\varphi : \mathscr{H}_3^0(X) \to W^3_{1,9}$ as $\varphi(\mathscr{O}_C) = \mathbf{\Phi}^!(\mathscr{O}_C)$.

Observe that the correspondence $\tau : \mathcal{L} \mapsto \mathcal{L}^* \otimes \omega_\Gamma$ provides an isomorphism between $W^3_{1,9}$ and $W^0_{1,3}$. On the other hand note that $W^0_{1,3} \cong \Gamma^{(3)}$, indeed the curve Γ is not trigonal (see [Muk95a, Table 1]). We have thus defined a morphism $\psi : \mathscr{O}_C \mapsto (\mathbf{\Phi}^!(\mathscr{O}_C))^* \otimes \omega_\Gamma$ from $\mathscr{H}^0_3(X)$ to $\Gamma^{(3)}$ as $\psi = \tau \circ \varphi$.

Now, we would like to construct an inverse map $\vartheta : W^3_{1,9} \to \mathscr{H}^0_3(X)$ of φ. We consider a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ in $W^3_{1,9}$, and the natural evaluation map of sections of $\mathcal{L}$:

$$e_{\mathcal{L}} := e_{\mathscr{O}_\Gamma,\mathcal{L}} : \mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma,\mathcal{L}) \otimes \mathscr{O}_\Gamma \to \mathcal{L}.$$

Applying the functor $\mathbf{\Phi}$ to this map and taking cohomology, we get a map:

$$\mathcal{H}^0(\mathbf{\Phi}(e_{\mathcal{L}})) : \mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma,\mathcal{L}) \otimes \mathcal{U}_+^* \to \mathbf{\Phi}(\mathcal{L}).$$

We would like to show that the cokernel of this map is the structure sheaf of a rational curve of degree 3 on X. This will define an inverse map ϑ to φ. In order to do so, we recall the natural isomorphism:

$$\mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma,\mathcal{L}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}_+^*, \mathbf{\Phi}(\mathcal{L})).$$

Therefore, the map $\mathcal{H}^0(\mathbf{\Phi}(e_{\mathcal{L}}))$ lies in $\mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}_+^*, \mathbf{\Phi}(\mathcal{L}))^* \otimes \mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}_+^*, \mathbf{\Phi}(\mathcal{L}))$ and it is invariant under the natural $\mathsf{GL}(4)$-action. Note that such invariant is either zero, or a (non-zero) multiple of the identity, hence unique up to non-zero scalar. Hence $\mathcal{H}^0(\mathbf{\Phi}(e_{\mathcal{L}}))$ is determined as the natural evaluation map $e_{\mathcal{U}_+^*,\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathcal{L})}$ as soon as it is non-zero. In order to prove that the map $\mathcal{H}^0(\mathbf{\Phi}(e_{\mathcal{L}}))$ is non-zero, we will need the following claim.

Claim 4.5. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a line bundle in $W^3_{1,9}$. Then $\ker(e_{\mathscr{O},\mathcal{L}})$ is semistable.

Let us postpone the proof of the claim, and see what we can deduce from it. Note that if $\mathcal{H}^0(\mathbf{\Phi}(e_{\mathcal{L}})) = 0$, then $\mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{H}^0(\mathbf{\Phi}(\ker(e_{\mathscr{O},\mathcal{L}})))) = 20$. Therefore, for any point $x \in X$, we have $\mathrm{h}^0(\Gamma, \mathscr{E}_x \otimes \ker(e_{\mathscr{O},\mathcal{L}})) = 20$. But $\mathscr{E}_x \otimes \ker(e_{\mathscr{O},\mathcal{L}})$ is a semistable sheaf of rank 6 and degree 18, hence by Clifford's theorem (see e.g. [Mer99]), we must have $\mathrm{h}^0(\Gamma, \mathscr{E}_x \otimes \ker(e_{\mathscr{O},\mathcal{L}})) \leq 15$, a contradiction.

Note that also the map ζ_C corresponds to the natural evaluation map $e_{\mathcal{U}_+^*,\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathcal{L})}$, for clearly ζ_C is $\mathsf{GL}(4)$-invariant and non-zero by (4.7). This implies that:

$$\mathscr{O}_C \cong \mathrm{cok}(\zeta_C) \cong \mathrm{cok}(\mathcal{H}^0(\mathbf{\Phi}(e_{\mathcal{L}}))),$$

as soon as $\mathcal{L} = \mathbf{\Phi}^!(\mathscr{O}_C)$. We have thus proved that our candidate inverse map ϑ is well-defined on the image of φ, and by construction $\vartheta \circ \varphi$ is the identity.

Therefore φ is injective. Since $\mathscr{H}^0_3(X)$ is projective of dimension at least 3 and $\Gamma^{(3)}$ is irreducible and of dimension 3, it follows that the map $\varphi : \mathscr{H}^0_3(X) \to W^3_{1,9}$ is also surjective. The inverse map ϑ is then defined everywhere and we are done. □

Proof of Claim 4.5. First note that $e_{\mathscr{O},\mathcal{L}}$ is surjective. Indeed, otherwise its image would be a line bundle $\mathcal{I}$ with 4 independent global sections and of degree at most 8. If $\deg(\mathcal{I}) = 8$ we find $\mathrm{h}^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{I}^* \otimes \omega_\Gamma) \geq 2$, and this is impossible since Γ is not tetragonal by [Muk95a, Table 1]. Thus $W^3_{1,8} = \emptyset$, hence $W^3_{1,d} = \emptyset$ for $d < 8$ so the cases $\deg(\mathcal{I}) < 8$ are also covered.

Let E be the vector bundle $(\ker(e_{\mathscr{O},\mathcal{L}}))^*$ and consider the exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathcal{L}^* \to \mathscr{O}_\Gamma^4 \to E \to 0.$$

It suffices to prove that E is semistable. Assume the contrary and consider the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of E. In particular we can assume that E has a destabilizing quotient B, which is semistable.

Now assume that B has rank 1. Then B is generated by global sections, being a quotient of E, and has degree at most 2. This is impossible for Γ is not hyperelliptic.

Therefore we may assume that B has rank 2. Still B is generated by global sections, so $\mathrm{h}^0(\Gamma, B) \geq 2$, and equality is attained if and only if B is trivial, which is impossible since E has no trivial summands. Hence $\mathrm{h}^0(\Gamma, B) \geq 3$, and again the inequality must be strict. Indeed otherwise we would get, dualizing the kernel of $e_{\mathscr{O},B}$ a line bundle on Γ with 3 independent global sections and degree at most 5, which is impossible for already $W^2_{1,6}$ is empty by [Muk95a, Table 1]. Hence we have $\mathrm{h}^0(\Gamma, B) \geq 4$, which contradicts Castelnuovo's theorem, see e.g. [Mer99, Theorem A.1].

Therefore we conclude that E is indeed semistable. $\square$

5. Vector bundles on Fano threefolds of genus 7

In this section, we assume that X is a smooth prime Fano threefold of genus 7, and we let Γ be its homologically projectively dual curve. We set up a birational correspondence between the component $\mathsf{M}(d)$ of Definition 3.12 and a component of the Brill-Noether variety $W^{2\,d-11}_{d-5,5\,d-24}$. This correspondence will turn out to be an isomorphism for $d = 6$. In this section, we will need to assume that X is ordinary for $d \geq 7$, in order to ensure the existence of the well-behaved component $\mathsf{M}(d)$, constructed in Theorem 3.11.

5.1. **Vanishing results.** In order to setup the correspondence mentioned above, we will have to prove that various cohomology groups are zero. This is the purpose of the next series of lemmas.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $d \geq 6$ and let F be a sheaf in $\mathsf{M}_X(2, 1, d)$ such that:*

$$\mathrm{H}^1(X, F(-1)) = 0, \tag{5.1}$$

then we have, for all $y \in \Gamma$:

$$\mathrm{Ext}^k_X(\mathscr{E}_y, F) = 0, \qquad \text{for all } k \neq 1, \tag{5.2}$$

$$\mathrm{Ext}^j_X(F, \mathscr{E}_y) = 0, \qquad \text{for all } j \geq 2. \tag{5.3}$$

Moreover, if F is locally free, then (5.3) *holds for $j = 0$ as well.*

Proof. Let us prove the first vanishing. Notice that for $k < 0$ and for $k > 3$ the claim is obvious since X has dimension 3. For $k = 3$, the claim amounts to $\mathrm{Hom}_X(F, \mathscr{E}_y(-1)) = \mathrm{Hom}_X(F, \mathscr{E}_y^*) = 0$, which follows from stability of F and $\mathscr{E}_y$.

For $k = 0$, we have to show that $\mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathscr{E}_y, F) = 0$. Assume the contrary, and let f be a non-trivial map $f : \mathscr{E}_y \to F$. Note that the image I of f must have rank 2, for if it had rank 1 it would destabilize either F (if $c_1(I) \geq 1$) either $\mathscr{E}_y$ (if $c_1(I) \leq 0$). So f is injective, and its cokernel is a torsion sheaf T with $c_1(T) = 0$, hence $c_2(T) \leq 0$. This gives the inequality $5 = c_2(\mathscr{E}_y) \geq c_2(F)$, which is in fact an equality by the minimality of $c_2(\mathscr{E}_y)$. But we have $c_2(F) = d \geq 6$, a contradiction.

For $k = 2$, let us show that $\mathrm{Ext}^1_X(F, \mathscr{E}_y^*) = 0$. Applying the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_X(F, -)$ to the restriction of (2.25) to $X \times \{y\}$, we get:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_X(F, \mathscr{G}_y) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_X(F, \mathscr{E}_y^*) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_X(F, \mathscr{O}_X) \otimes (\mathcal{U}_-)_y. \tag{5.4}$$

It is easy to see that the term on the left hand side vanishes by virtue of stability of $\mathscr{G}_y$ and F (see Lemma 2.6). On the other hand, the rightmost term vanishes by the assumption $\mathrm{H}^1(X, F(-1)) = 0$ and Proposition 3.9. We have thus proved (5.2).

Let us now turn to (5.3). For $j = 3$, one easily sees that this group vanishes by stability of F and $\mathscr{E}_y$. In order to prove (5.3) for $j = 2$, we apply the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_X(F, -)$ to (2.26), and by stability of $\mathscr{G}_y$, we are reduced to show that $\mathrm{Ext}^2_X(F, \mathcal{U}_+^*) = 0$. But this follows easily applying $\mathrm{Hom}_X(F, -)$ to (2.13).

Finally, if F is locally free, then a nonzero map $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_X(F, \mathscr{E}_y)$ of stable locally free sheaves $f : F \to \mathscr{E}_y$ would have to be an isomorphism, for these two bundles have the same slope. But their second Chern classes are not equal, hence there cannot be such f. $\square$

Lemma 5.2. *Let $d \geq 6$ and let F be a sheaf in $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,d)$ satisfying* (5.1). *Then the following equalities hold*

$$\mathrm{Ext}^k_X(F, \mathscr{O}_X) = 0, \qquad \text{for any } k \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{5.5}$$

$$\mathrm{Ext}^k_X(F, \mathcal{U}_+) = 0, \qquad \text{for any } k \neq 2, \tag{5.6}$$

$$\mathrm{ext}^2_X(F, \mathcal{U}_+) = 2d - 10. \tag{5.7}$$

Proof. By Serre duality and (5.1), the vanishing (5.5) follows from Proposition 3.9.

Moreover, for $k = 0, 3$ we have $\mathrm{Ext}^k_X(F, \mathcal{U}_+) = 0$ by stability of the sheaves $\mathcal{U}_+$ and F. Since $\mathrm{Ext}^1_X(F, \mathscr{O}_X) = 0$, by applying the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_X(F, -)$ to the sequence (2.13) we get $\mathrm{Ext}^1_X(F, \mathcal{U}_+) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_X(F, \mathcal{U}_+^*)$, which vanishes by stability of F and $\mathcal{U}_+$. This proves (5.6). Finally, by the Riemann-Roch formula (2.10) we obtain the last equality. □

Lemma 5.3. *Let $d \geq 7$ and let F be a sheaf in $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,d)$ satisfying* (5.1). *Then we have*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}_+^*, F) = 0.$$

Proof. Fix a point y in Γ. Applying the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_X(-, F)$ to the exact sequence (2.26) we obtain an exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathscr{E}_y, F) \to \mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}_+^*, F) \to \mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathscr{G}_y, F).$$

By Lemma 5.1 we know that the leftmost term vanishes. Assume that the rightmost does not, and consider a non-zero map $f : \mathscr{G}_y \to F$. Set $F' = \mathrm{Im}(f)$ and note that, by stability of the sheaves F and $\mathscr{G}_y$, we must have $\mathrm{rk}(F') = 2$ and $c_1(F') = 1$. We have thus an exact sequence:

$$0 \to F' \to F \to T \to 0, \tag{5.8}$$

where T is a torsion sheaf, with $\dim(\mathrm{supp}(T)) \leq 1$. Note that F' is stable, since any destabilizing subsheaf would destabilize also F. By [Har80, Propositions 1.1 and 1.9], the sheaf $\ker(f)$ must be a line bundle of degree zero. This means that $\ker(f) \cong \mathscr{O}_X$, and we have an exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathscr{O}_X \to \mathscr{G}_y \to F' \to 0.$$

So F' satisfies $c_2(F') = 7$, $c_3(F') = 2$. Hence by (5.8) it follows that $d = c_2(F) = 7 + c_2(T) \leq 7$, since $c_2(T)$ is non-positive. Hence we have $d = 7$ and in this case we have $c_2(T) = 0$, and $c_3(T) = -2$. But this is a contradiction since $c_3(T)$ must be non-negative. We have thus proved our claim. □

5.2. **Canonical resolution of a bundle in $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,d)$.** We will show here that a sheaf F in $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,d)$ which satisfies $\mathrm{H}^1(X, F(-1)) = 0$ admits a canonical resolution having two terms, see the formula (5.10) below. Recall that, if the threefold X is ordinary, such a sheaf exists for all $d \geq 6$ by Theorem 3.11.

Proposition 5.4. *Let $d \geq 6$ and let F be a sheaf in $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,d)$ such that $\mathrm{H}^1(X, F(-1)) = 0$. Then $\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F)$ is a simple vector bundle on Γ, with:*

$$\mathrm{rk}(\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F)) = d - 5, \qquad \deg(\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F)) = 5\,d - 24. \tag{5.9}$$

Moreover, F admits the following canonical resolution:

$$0 \to \mathrm{Ext}^2_X(F, \mathcal{U}_+)^* \otimes \mathcal{U}_+^* \xrightarrow{\zeta_F} \mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F)) \to F \to 0, \tag{5.10}$$

and $\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F))$ is a simple vector bundle.

Proof. Consider the stalk over a point $y \in \Gamma$ of the sheaf $\mathcal{H}^k(\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F))$. We have:

$$\mathcal{H}^k(\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F))_y \cong \mathrm{Ext}^{k+1}_X(\mathscr{E}_y, F) \otimes \omega_{\Gamma,y}. \tag{5.11}$$

Hence by Lemma 5.1 it follows that this group vanishes for all $y \in \Gamma$ and for all $k \neq 0$, so $\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F)$ can be identified with a coherent sheaf on Γ. For $k = 0$, the dimension of the vector space $\mathcal{H}^0(\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F))_y$ can be computed by Riemann-Roch. This gives $-\chi(\mathscr{E}_y, F) = \chi(F, \mathscr{E}_y^*) =$

$d-5$, so the rank of the sheaf $\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F)$ is $d-5$. Since this rank does not depend on the point $y \in \Gamma$, the sheaf $\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F)$ is locally free over Γ. It follows easily by Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch that $\deg(\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F)) = 5\,d - 24$.

Let us exhibit the resolution (5.10). Note that, by formula (2.24) and Lemma 5.2 we get that the complex $(\mathbf{\Psi}(\mathbf{\Psi}^*(F)))$ is concentrated in degree -1 and isomorphic to $\mathrm{Ext}^2_X(F, \mathcal{U}_+)^* \otimes \mathcal{U}_+^*$. Hence the exact triangle (2.23) provides the resolution (5.10) for F. This resolution also proves that $\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F))$ is a vector bundle on X.

Let us now prove that $\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F)$ is a simple bundle. If $d = 6$, then $\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F)$ is a line bundle, hence it is obviously simple. For $d \geq 7$ we want to prove that the group $\mathrm{Hom}_\Gamma(\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F), \mathbf{\Phi}^!(F)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F)), F)$ is 1-dimensional. Applying the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_X(-, F)$ to the sequence (5.10) we obtain

$$\mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F)), F) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_X(F, F),$$

since the term $\mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}_+^*, F)$ vanishes by Lemma 5.3. Hence $\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F)$ is simple, for F is. Since the functor $\mathbf{\Phi}$ is fully faithful, it follows that also the vector bundle $\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F))$ is simple. □

Lemma 5.5. *Let $d \geq 7$ and let F be as in the previous proposition, and set $A_F = \mathrm{Ext}^2_X(F, \mathcal{U}_+)^*$. Then we have the natural isomorphism:*

$$A_F \cong \mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma, \mathbf{\Phi}^!(F)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}_+^*, \mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F))). \tag{5.12}$$

In particular $\mathrm{h}^0(\Gamma, \mathbf{\Phi}^!(F)) = 2d - 10$.

Proof. By Lemma 5.3 we know that $\mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}_+^*, F) = 0$. Therefore, applying the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}_+^*, -)$ to the resolution (5.10) we obtain:

$$A_F = \mathrm{Ext}^2_X(F, \mathcal{U}_+)^* \cong \mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}_+^*, \mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F))).$$

By adjunction and (2.32) we have the isomorphisms:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}_+^*, \mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F))) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_\Gamma(\mathbf{\Phi}^*(\mathcal{U}_+^*), \mathbf{\Phi}^!(F)) \cong \mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma, \mathbf{\Phi}^!(F)).$$

□

Lemma 5.6. *Let $d \geq 6$ and let F be a locally free sheaf in $\mathsf{M}_X(2, 1, d)$ with $\mathrm{H}^1(X, F(-1)) = 0$. Then $\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F)$ is globally generated and we have the exact sequence*

$$0 \to (\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F))^* \to \mathrm{Ext}^2_X(F, \mathcal{U}_+)^* \otimes \mathscr{O}_\Gamma \to \mathbf{\Phi}^!(F) \to 0. \tag{5.13}$$

Proof. Consider the complex $\mathbf{\Phi}^*(F)$. Let us compute the stalk over the point $y \in \Gamma$ of the sheaf $\mathcal{H}^k(\mathbf{\Phi}^*(F))$. We obtain:

$$\mathcal{H}^{-k}(\mathbf{\Phi}^*(F))_y \cong \mathrm{Ext}^k_X(F, \mathscr{E}_y)^*.$$

But the above vector space vanishes for any $k \neq 1$ in view of Lemma 5.1, for F is locally free. So $\mathbf{\Phi}^*(F)[-1]$ is a locally free sheaf. Moreover, applying (2.29) and using the definition of $\mathbf{\Phi}^*$ and $\mathbf{\Phi}^!$, we get:

$$\mathbf{\Phi}^*(F)[-1] \cong (\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F))^*.$$

Remark that, for any sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on the curve Γ, since the functor $\mathbf{\Phi}$ is fully faithful, we have:

$$\mathbf{\Phi}^*(\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathcal{F})) \cong \mathcal{F}.$$

Thus, applying the functor $\mathbf{\Phi}^*$ to (5.10), we obtain, in view of (2.32), the exact sequence (5.13). Hence, the sheaf $\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F)$ is globally generated. □

In order to set up our correspondence between $\mathsf{M}(d)$ and $W^{2\,d-11}_{d-5, 5\,d-24}$, we have to prove that, for a general F in $\mathsf{M}(d)$, the vector bundle $\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F)$ over Γ is stable. This is done in the next lemma.

Lemma 5.7. *For each integer $d \geq 6$, there exists a Zariski dense open subset $\Omega(d) \subset \mathsf{M}(d)$, such that each point F_d of $\Omega(d)$ satisfies $\mathrm{H}^1(X, F_d(-1)) = 0$, and $\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F_d)$ is a stable sheaf.*

Proof. Let us prove the statement by induction on $d \geq 6$. If $d = 6$, $\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F_6)$ is stable since it is a line bundle. Suppose now $d > 6$, assume that F_d and F_{d-1} fit into (3.21) for some line $L \subset X$, and that $\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F_{d-1})$ is a stable bundle. Recall that $\mathcal{L} = \mathbf{\Phi}^!(\mathscr{O}_L)[-1]$ is a line bundle of degree 5 by Lemma 4.1. Applying the functor $\mathbf{\Phi}^!$ to the sequence (3.21), we get

$$0 \to \mathcal{L} \to \mathbf{\Phi}^!(F_d) \to \mathbf{\Phi}^!(F_{d-1}) \to 0. \tag{5.14}$$

Notice that the extension (5.14) is non-trivial because $\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F_d)$ is indecomposable since it is simple (see Proposition 5.4).

Since, by formulas (5.9), we know that $\mu(\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F_d)) = \frac{5d-24}{d-5} = 5 + \frac{1}{d-5}$, it is enough to prove that $\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F_d)$ is semistable. Assume by contradiction that there exists a subsheaf $\mathcal{K}$ destabilizing $\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F_d)$ of rank $r < d-5$ and degree c. Since $\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F_{d-1})$ is stable, we must have

$$5 + \frac{1}{d-5} < \frac{c}{r} \leq 5 + \frac{1}{d-6},$$

from which we get

$$0 < \frac{c}{r} - \frac{5d-24}{d-5} \leq \frac{1}{(d-5)(d-6)}.$$

It is easy to check that the only possibility is $r = d-6$ and $c = 5d-29$, and so we would have $\mathcal{K} \cong \mathbf{\Phi}^!(F_{d-1})$ and (5.14) would split, a contradiction. Hence $\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F_d)$ is stable. Therefore the same holds for a general point of $\mathsf{M}(d)$ by Maruyama's result [Mar76]. $\square$

Remark 5.8. We do not know whether the bundle $\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F)$ is stable for *all* sheaves F in $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,d)$ with $\mathrm{H}^1(X, F(-1)) = 0$, not even assuming that F lies in the component $\mathsf{M}(d)$.

In the next section we will study the space $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,d)$, focusing first on the case $d \geq 7$, where we give a birational description. The case $d = 6$ will be treated in greater detail afterwards.

5.3. **The moduli spaces $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,d)$, with $d \geq 7$.** Here we show that the component $\mathsf{M}(d)$ of the variety $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,d)$ containing the sheaves arising from the construction of Theorem 3.11 is birational to a component $\mathsf{W}(d)$ of $W^{2\,d-11}_{d-5,5\,d-24}$. Recall that in Lemma 5.7 we have introduced the open set $\Omega(d) \subset \mathsf{M}(d)$. Every sheaf $F \in \Omega(d)$ satisfies the following two conditions:

i) the group $\mathrm{H}^1(X, F(-1))$ vanishes,
ii) the vector bundle $\mathcal{F} = \mathbf{\Phi}^!(F)$ is stable.

Then we have a morphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : \Omega(d) &\to W^{2\,d-11}_{d-5,5\,d-24}, \\ F &\mapsto \mathbf{\Phi}^!(F) \end{aligned}$$

which is well defined by Proposition 5.4 and Lemmas 5.5, 5.7.

We denote by $\mathsf{W}(d)$ the irreducible component of $W^{2\,d-11}_{d-5,5\,d-24}$ containing the image of φ. We can thus state the following result.

Theorem 5.9. *Let X be a smooth ordinary prime Fano threefold of genus 7, and let F be a sheaf in $\Omega(d)$ for $d \geq 7$. Then:*

i) the tangent space, respectively the space of obstructions, to $\mathsf{W}(d)$ at the point $[\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F)]$ is naturally identified with $\mathrm{Ext}^1_X(F,F)$, respectively with $\mathrm{Ext}^2_X(F,F)$;
ii) the varieties $\mathsf{M}(d)$ and $\mathsf{W}(d)$ are birational, both generically smooth of dimension $2d-9$.

Proof. The goal of our proof will be to construct an inverse map ϑ to the morphism φ, defined on a suitable open subset of our component $\mathsf{W}(d)$.

We let $B(d)$ be the subset of $\mathsf{W}(d)$ consisting of those sheaves $\mathcal{F}$ such that:

a) the natural evaluation map:
$$e_{\mathcal{F}} = e_{\mathscr{O},\mathcal{F}} : \mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma,\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathscr{O}_\Gamma \to \mathcal{F}$$
is surjective;
b) the kernel of $e_{\mathcal{F}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}^*$;
c) the complex $\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathcal{F})$ is concentrated in degree zero, i.e. $\mathrm{H}^1(\Gamma,\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathscr{E}_x) = 0\,\forall x \in X$.

Then we would like to define ϑ over $B(d)$ in the following way:
$$\vartheta : B(d) \to \mathsf{M}_X(2,1,d)$$
$$\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathrm{cok}(\mathcal{H}^0(\mathbf{\Phi}(e_{\mathcal{F}}))).$$

Let us prove that the sheaf $F = \mathrm{cok}(\mathcal{H}^0(\mathbf{\Phi}(e_{\mathcal{F}})))$ lies in $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,d)$. First note that the duality (2.29) gives the isomorphism:
$$\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathcal{F}^*)[1] \cong \mathbf{\Phi}(\mathcal{F})^*(1),$$
where these are both locally free sheaves by (c) and by the same argument as in Proposition 5.4. By (a) and (b) we can apply the functor $\mathbf{\Phi}$ to the exact sequence:
$$0 \to \mathcal{F}^* \xrightarrow{e_{\mathcal{F}}^\top} \mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma,\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathscr{O}_\Gamma \xrightarrow{e_{\mathcal{F}}} \mathcal{F} \to 0,$$
and get (by (c)) a long exact sequence of the form:
$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma,\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{U}_+^* \xrightarrow{\mathcal{H}^0(\mathbf{\Phi}(e_{\mathcal{F}}))} \mathbf{\Phi}(\mathcal{F}) \to \mathbf{\Phi}(\mathcal{F})^* \otimes \mathscr{O}_X(1) \to \mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma,\mathcal{F})^* \otimes \mathcal{U}_+(1) \to 0.$$
The sheaf F is then the image of the middle map in the above sequence. By [Har80, Proposition 1.1] the sheaf F is reflexive, and sits into the following exact sequence:
$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma,\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{U}_+^* \xrightarrow{\mathcal{H}^0(\mathbf{\Phi}(e_{\mathcal{F}}))} \mathbf{\Phi}(\mathcal{F}) \to F \to 0. \tag{5.15}$$

By Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch one can calculate the rank and the Chern classes of $\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathcal{F})$, and deduce from the above exact sequence that the sheaf F has rank 2 and $c_1(F) = 1$, $c_2(F) = d$, $c_3(F) = 0$. Therefore F is locally free (because it is reflexive with vanishing c_3), so it is also stable, once we prove $\mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathscr{O}_X(1), F) = 0$. Recall that $\mathrm{Ext}^k_X(\mathscr{O}_X(1),\mathcal{U}_+^*) = 0$ for any integer k. Further, it is easy to see that $\mathbf{\Phi}^*(\mathscr{O}_X(1)) = 0$. Then, applying the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathscr{O}_X(1), -)$ to (5.15), we have:
$$\mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathscr{O}_X(1), F) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathscr{O}_X(1), \mathbf{\Phi}(\mathcal{F})) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_\Gamma(\mathbf{\Phi}^*(\mathscr{O}_X(1)), \mathcal{F}) = 0.$$
We have thus proved that F lies in $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,d)$, so the map ϑ is defined on $B(d)$.

Applying the functor $\mathbf{\Phi}^!$ to (5.15) and using (2.33), we have $\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F) \cong \mathcal{F}$, so $\varphi(\vartheta(\mathcal{F})) = \mathcal{F}$. Let us now show that $\vartheta \circ \varphi$ is the identity over the open subset of $\Omega(d)$ consisting of locally free sheaves. For any sheaf E in $\Omega(d)$, the sheaf $\mathcal{F} = \mathbf{\Phi}^!(E)$ lies in $\mathsf{W}(d)$ and satisfies (c). Assume now in addition that E is locally free. Set again $A_E = \mathrm{Ext}^2_X(E,\mathcal{U}_+)^*$, and keep in mind the natural isomorphism of Lemma 5.5:
$$A_E \cong \mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma, E) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}_+^*, \mathbf{\Phi}(\mathcal{F})).$$
Further, by Lemma 5.6, since E is a locally free sheaf in $\mathsf{M}(d)$, we have that $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies also (a) and (b). We look at the resolution
$$0 \to A_E^* \otimes \mathcal{U}_+^* \xrightarrow{\zeta_E} \mathbf{\Phi}(\mathcal{F}) \to E \to 0$$
given by Proposition 5.4. Notice that the map ζ_E agrees, up to a non-zero scalar, with the map $\mathcal{H}^0(\mathbf{\Phi}(e_{\mathcal{F}}))$. Indeed, both such maps are non-zero elements of $A_E \otimes A_E^*$, invariant under the natural $\mathsf{GL}(A_E)$-action, and such invariant is either zero, either unique up to non-zero scalar. Therefore, we have:
$$E \cong \mathrm{cok}(\mathcal{H}^0(\mathbf{\Phi}(e_{\mathcal{F}}))),$$
which proves that $\vartheta \circ \varphi$ gives back E when applied to E.

We have thus proved that $B(d)$ is isomorphic to an open subset of $\Omega(d)$, which in turn is open in $\mathsf{M}(d)$, and by Theorem 3.11 $\mathsf{M}(d)$ is generically smooth of dimension $2d-9$. This will prove (ii) once we show that $B(d)$ is dense in $\mathsf{W}(d)$. In turn, it will follow from (i) that $\mathsf{W}(d)$ is smooth of dimension $2d-9$ at any point $\mathcal{F} = \mathbf{\Phi}^!(F)$ such that $\mathsf{M}(d)$ is smooth at F, which implies that $B(d)$ is dense in $\mathsf{W}(d)$.

Therefore, it only remains to setup the natural identifications of tangent and obstruction spaces required for (i). Let us accomplish this task. We have the natural isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{Ext}^1_X(\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathcal{F}), F) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^1_\Gamma(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{F}), \\ &\mathrm{Ext}^1_X(\mathcal{U}_+^*, F) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^1_X(\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathscr{O}_\Gamma), F) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^1_\Gamma(\mathscr{O}_\Gamma, \mathcal{F}). \end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

Here, to prove (5.16), by (2.4) and (2.34) it suffices to show $\mathrm{Ext}^2_X(\mathcal{U}_+(1), F) = 0$ and $\mathrm{Ext}^3_X(\mathcal{U}_+(1), F) = 0$. By Serre duality we have $\mathrm{Ext}^k_X(\mathcal{U}_+(1), F)^* \cong \mathrm{Ext}^{3-k}_X(F, \mathcal{U}_+)$, which vanishes, for $k = 2, 3$, by Lemma 5.2. Now, applying the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_X(-, F)$ to (5.10) we obtain an exact sequence:

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_X(F,F) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_X(\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathcal{F}), F) \xrightarrow{\eta_F} \mathrm{Ext}^1_X(\mathcal{U}_+^*, F) \otimes A_F^* \to \mathrm{Ext}^2_X(F,F),$$

where the map η_F is defined as $\mathrm{Ext}^1_X(\zeta_F, F)$. But since $\zeta_F = \mathcal{H}^0(\mathbf{\Phi}(e_{\mathcal{F}}))$, we have:

$$\eta_F = \mathrm{Ext}^1_X(\mathbf{\Phi}(e_{\mathcal{F}}), F) = \mathrm{Ext}^1_\Gamma(e_{\mathcal{F}}, \mathbf{\Phi}^!(F)) = \mathrm{Ext}^1_\Gamma(e_{\mathcal{F}}, \mathcal{F}) = \pi_{\mathcal{F}}^\top.$$

In view of the interpretation of the kernel and cokernel of $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}^\top$ (see Section 2.1.4), we have thus constructed the required identification of the tangent space to $W^{2\,d-11}_{d-5,5\,d-24}$ at the point $\mathcal{F}$ (i.e. of $\ker(\pi_{\mathcal{F}}^\top)$) with $\mathrm{Ext}^1_X(F,F)$. The same argument identifies the obstruction space with $\mathrm{Ext}^2_X(F,F)$. □

Working out a relative version of the construction of the previous theorem, we get the following result.

Corollary 5.10. *The moduli space* $\Omega(d) \subset \mathsf{M}(d)$ *is fine.*

Proof. For any $d \geq 6$, we let $\mathsf{P}(d)$ be the moduli space of stable vector bundles on Γ of rank $d-5$ and degree $5\,d-24$. Thus $\mathsf{W}(d)$ is a subvariety of $\mathsf{P}(d)$. Since the rank and the degree are coprime, it is well known that this moduli space is fine. So we denote by $\mathscr{P}$ the universal bundle over $\Gamma \times \mathsf{P}(d)$, and by abuse of notation its restriction to the product $\Gamma \times \mathsf{W}(d)$.

We would like to exhibit a universal sheaf $\mathscr{F}$ over $X \times \Omega(d)$ such that, for a given closed point z of $\Omega(d)$ representing a stable sheaf F, the restriction of $\mathscr{F}$ to $X \times \{z\}$ is isomorphic to F.

Recall by Theorem 5.9 that φ maps $\Omega(d) \subset \mathsf{M}(d)$ to an open subset of $\mathsf{W}(d)$. Consider the projections:

$$\begin{tikzcd} & X \times \Gamma \times \Omega(d) \arrow[dl, "p\times 1"'] \arrow[dr, "q\times\varphi"] & \\ X \times \Omega(d) & & \Gamma \times \varphi(\Omega(d)) \end{tikzcd}$$

We consider the pull-back to $X \times \Gamma \times \Omega(d)$ of the map $\alpha : \mathcal{U}_+^* \to \mathscr{E}$ of (2.16). We tensor this map with $(q \times \varphi)^*(\mathscr{P})$. We have thus a morphism:

$$\mathcal{U}_+^* \boxtimes (q \times \varphi)^*(\mathscr{P}) \xrightarrow{\alpha \boxtimes 1} \mathscr{E} \boxtimes (q \times \varphi)^*(\mathscr{P}).$$

We define the universal sheaf $\mathscr{F}$ as the cokernel of the map $(p \times 1)_*(\alpha \boxtimes 1)$. Let us verify that $\mathscr{F}$ has the desired properties. So choose a closed point $z \in \Omega(d) \subset \mathsf{M}(d)$, and consider the corresponding sheaf F_z on X and the vector bundle $\mathscr{P}_{\varphi(z)} \cong \mathbf{\Phi}^!(F_z)$ on Γ. Notice that the sheaf $(q \times \varphi)^*(\mathscr{P}_{\varphi(z)})$ is just $q^*(\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F_z))$. Then, evaluating at the point z the map $(p \times 1)_*(\alpha \boxtimes 1)$ we obtain the map:

$$\mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma, \mathbf{\Phi}^!(F_z)) \otimes \mathcal{U}_+^* \to \mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F_z)).$$

Recall the natural isomorphism $\mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma, \mathbf{\Phi}^!(F_z)) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^2_X(F_z, \mathcal{U}_+)^*$, and note that, by functoriality, this map must agree with the map ζ_{F_z} given by the resolution (5.10). Thus its cokernel is isomorphic to F_z. □

5.4. **The moduli space $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6)$.** Here we focus on the moduli space $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6)$, and we prove that it is isomorphic to the Brill-Noether locus $W^1_{1,6}$ on the homologically projectively dual curve Γ. This makes more precise a result of Iliev-Markushevich, [IM07c]. Then we investigate the subvariety of $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6)$ consisting of vector bundles which are not globally generated. We will see that these bundles are in one-to-one correspondence with non-reflexive sheaves in $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6)$. Finally we will see that these two subsets are interchanged by a natural involution of $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6)$. Here is our main result.

Theorem 5.11. *Let X be a smooth prime Fano threefold of genus 7.*

A) The map $\varphi : F \mapsto \mathbf{\Phi}^!(F)$ gives an isomorphism of the moduli space $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6)$ onto the Brill-Noether variety $W^1_{1,6}$. In particular, $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6)$ is a connected threefold. Moreover it is a fine moduli space.

B) If X is not exotic, then $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6)$ has at most finitely many singular points. If X is general, then $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6)$ is smooth and irreducible.

We prove now the first part of the theorem, while we postpone the second part to the end of the subsection.

Proof of Theorem 5.11, part A. First of all the map $\varphi : F \mapsto \mathbf{\Phi}^!(F)$ is well-defined. Indeed, let F be any sheaf in $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6)$. By Proposition 3.7, we know that F satisfies the hypothesis (5.1). Then by Proposition 5.4, $\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F)$ is a line bundle of degree 6 on Γ. Set $\mathcal{L} = \mathbf{\Phi}^!(F)$. We have to prove that $\mathcal{L}$ admits at least two independent global sections. If F is locally free, by Lemma 5.6 we have that $\mathcal{L}$ is globally generated. Moreover the exact sequence (5.13), implies $\mathrm{h}^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}) \geq 2$, since $\mathrm{h}^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}^*) = 0$. It follows that $\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F)$ lies in $W^1_{1,6}$. If F is not locally free, then it fits in the exact sequence (3.9). Recall that by Lemma 4.1 we know that $\mathbf{\Phi}^!(\mathscr{O}_L)[-1]$ is a line bundle $\mathcal{M}$ contained in $W^1_{1,5}$. Hence, applying $\mathbf{\Phi}^!$ to the exact sequence (3.9), we obtain:

$$0 \to \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{L} \to \mathscr{O}_y \to 0, \tag{5.17}$$

where y is a point of Γ. Therefore we have again $\mathrm{h}^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}) \geq \mathrm{h}^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}) \geq 2$, and $\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F)$ lies in $W^1_{1,6}$. Note that the equality $\mathrm{h}^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}) = 2$ must be attained for all $\mathcal{L}$, since $W^2_{1,6}$ is empty in view of Mukai's result (see [Muk95a, Table 1]). Note that in this case the open subset $\Omega(6)$ coincides in fact with all of $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6)$.

Now we want to provide an inverse map $\vartheta : W^1_{1,6} \to \mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6)$ of φ. Take a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ in $W^1_{1,6}$, and denote again by $e_{\mathcal{L}} = e_{\mathscr{O}_\Gamma,\mathcal{L}} : \mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}) \otimes \mathscr{O}_\Gamma \to \mathcal{L}$ the natural evaluation map. We distinguish two cases according to whether $\mathcal{L}$ is globally generated or not.

In the former case, we have an exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathcal{L}^* \to \mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}) \otimes \mathscr{O}_\Gamma \xrightarrow{e_{\mathcal{L}}} \mathcal{L} \to 0. \tag{5.18}$$

Since, for any $x \in X$ the vector bundle $\mathscr{E}_x$ is stable, we have $\mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma, \mathscr{E}_x \otimes \mathcal{L}^*) = \mathrm{H}^1(\Gamma, \mathscr{E}_x \otimes \mathcal{L}) = 0$. Hence the line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ satisfies all the conditions (a), (b), (c) of the proof of Theorem 5.9. Then the same proof of such theorem allows us to define $\vartheta(\mathcal{L}) = \mathrm{cok}(\mathcal{H}^0(\mathbf{\Phi}(e_{\mathcal{L}})))$ and to prove that $\varphi(\vartheta(\mathcal{L})) = \mathcal{L}$.

It remains to find an inverse image via φ of a non-globally generated sheaf $\mathcal{L}$. In this case, the image $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{L}$ of $e_{\mathcal{L}}$ must be a line bundle, with $\mathrm{h}^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}) = \mathrm{h}^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}) = 2$. Then $\mathcal{M}$ must lie in $W^1_{1,5}$, since Γ has no g^1_4 by [Muk95a]. We have an exact sequence of the form (5.17), for some $y \in \Gamma$. Applying the functor $\mathbf{\Phi}$ to this sequence, by Lemma 4.1 and formula (4.3), we obtain:

$$0 \to A_L \otimes \mathcal{U}_+^* \xrightarrow{\mathcal{H}^0(\mathbf{\Phi}(e_{\mathcal{L}}))} \mathbf{\Phi}(\mathcal{L}) \to \mathscr{E}_y \to \mathscr{O}_L \to 0,$$

where L is the line contained in X such that $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathbf{\Phi}^!(\mathscr{O}_L)[-1]$ and $A_L = \mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}) \cong \mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{L})$. It is easy to see that the image of the middle map in the exact sequence above is a sheaf $F \in \mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6)$. We define again $\vartheta(\mathcal{L}) = \mathrm{cok}(\mathcal{H}^0(\mathbf{\Phi}(e_{\mathcal{L}})))$ and since $\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F) \cong \mathcal{L}$, it follows $\varphi(\vartheta(\mathcal{L})) = \mathcal{L}$.

To show that $\vartheta \circ \varphi$ is the identity on $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6)$, we need to prove that the map ζ_F appearing in the resolution (5.10) provided by Proposition 5.4 agrees up to a scalar with $\mathcal{H}^0(\mathbf{\Phi}(e_{\mathcal{L}}))$. Applying the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathcal{U}_+^*, -)$ to (5.10), and using adjunction and (2.32), we obtain

$$\mathrm{Ext}^2_X(F, \mathcal{U}_+)^* \subseteq \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{U}_+^*, \mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F))) \cong \mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma, \mathbf{\Phi}^!(F)).$$

Now recall that $\dim \mathrm{Ext}^2_X(F, \mathcal{U}_+) = 2$, by (5.7), and $\mathrm{h}^0(\Gamma, \mathbf{\Phi}^!(F)) \leq 2$, since $W^2_{1,6}$ is empty, hence we conclude that $\mathrm{Ext}^2_X(F, \mathcal{U}_+)^* \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{U}_+^*, \mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F)))$, and the map ζ_F in the resolution (5.10) is uniquely determined. This proves that $\vartheta(\varphi(F)) = F$.

Now, with the same proof of Corollary 5.10 one can show that the moduli space $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6)$ is fine. The fact that $W^1_{1,6}$ is a connected threefold is well-known, see for instance [ACGH85, IV, Theorem 5.1 and V, Theorem 1.4]. This completes the proof of part (A). ☐

Now we will analyze the space $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6)$ in greater detail.

Lemma 5.12. *Let F be a sheaf in $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6)$. Then either F is globally generated, or there exists an exact sequence:*

$$0 \to I \to F \to \mathscr{O}_L(-1) \to 0, \tag{5.19}$$

where L is a line contained in X and I is a sheaf fitting into:

$$0 \to \mathscr{E}_y^* \to \mathrm{H}^0(X,F) \otimes \mathscr{O}_X \to I \to 0, \tag{5.20}$$

for some $y \in \Gamma$.

Proof. If the sheaf F fits into the exact sequence (5.19), it cannot be globally generated, since $\mathscr{O}_L(-1)$ has no global sections. So let us prove the converse implication.

Assume thus that F is not globally generated, let I (respectively, T and K) be the image (respectively, the cokernel and the kernel) of the natural evaluation map $e_{\mathscr{O},F}$: $\mathrm{H}^0(X,F) \otimes \mathscr{O}_X \to F$. We have the exact sequences

$$0 \to K \to \mathscr{O}_X^4 \to I \to 0, \qquad 0 \to I \to F \to T \to 0, \tag{5.21}$$

where the induced maps $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \mathscr{O}_X^4) \to \mathrm{H}^0(X, I)$ and $\mathrm{H}^0(X,I) \to \mathrm{H}^0(X,F)$ compose to $e_{\mathscr{O},F}$, in particular $\mathrm{h}^0(X,I) = 4$. By Proposition 3.7, we have $\mathrm{H}^k(X,F) = 0$, for each $k \neq 0$, and $\mathrm{h}^0(X,F) = 4$.

Let us check that the torsion-free I must have rank 2 and $c_1(I) = 1$ (in which case we easily get that I is also stable with $c_2(I) \geq 6$). By stability of $\mathscr{O}_X$ and F, we must have $c_1(I) = 1$ (and in this case $\mathrm{rk}(I) = 2$) or $c_1(I) = 0$. But in the latter case, by the uniqueness of the graded object associated to the Jordan-Hölder filtration of $\mathscr{O}_X^4$ ([HL97, Proposition 1.5.2]), we must have that I is semistable with Jordan-Hölder filtration:

$$0 \to \mathscr{O}_X \to I \to \mathscr{O}_X \to 0.$$

So $I \cong \mathscr{O}_X^2$ which contradicts $\mathrm{h}^0(X,I) = 4$.

Now, one easily sees that K is thus a stable reflexive (by [Har80, Proposition 1.1]) sheaf of rank 2 with $c_1(K) = -1$, $c_2(K) = 12 - c_2(I)$ (by (5.21)). Then we have $c_3(K) \geq 0$ and by Lemma 3.1 it follows $c_2(K) \geq 5$.

Assume first that $c_2(I) = 7$. Then we can apply Proposition 3.5 to the sheaf $K(1)$ to prove that K is locally free. It follows that K is of the form $\mathscr{E}_y^*$ for some y by virtue of Theorem 2.3. It follows that $\mathrm{H}^k(X,K) = 0$ for all k by Proposition 3.5, which by (5.21) implies $\mathrm{H}^k(X,I) = 0$ for $k \geq 1$ and in turn $\mathrm{H}^k(X,T) = 0$ for all k. We obtain that T is isomorphic to $\mathscr{O}_L(-1)$ by a Hilbert polynomial computation. This concludes the proof in case $c_2(I) = 7$.

Let us assume now that $c_2(I) = 6$, which implies $c_2(K) = 6$ and $c_3(K) \geq 0$ for the sheaf K is reflexive. In this case Proposition 3.7 implies that K is locally free so $c_3(K) = 0$. So by (5.21) $c_3(I) = -c_3(K) = 0$. So $c_k(F) = c_k(I)$ for all k hence the sheaf T has $c_k(T) = 0$ for all k. Therefore $T = 0$ and F is globally generated. $\square$

We can consider the closed subvarieties of the Brill-Noether variety $W^1_{1,6}$ described by the following conditions:

$$\mathsf{G} = \{\mathcal{L} \in W^1_{1,6} \,|\, \mathcal{L} \text{ is not globally generated}\} \tag{5.22}$$

$$\mathsf{C} = \{\mathcal{L} \in W^1_{1,6} \,|\, \mathcal{L} \text{ is contained in a line bundle lying in } W^2_{1,7}\}. \tag{5.23}$$

Moreover, we have the following involution:

$$\tau : W^1_{1,6} \to W^1_{1,6}, \qquad \mathcal{L} \mapsto \mathcal{L}^* \otimes \omega_\Gamma.$$

A suggestion due to the referee helped us in making more precise the statement of the following proposition. The condition that Γ can be represented as a plane septic with 8 nodes holds if Γ is general.

Proposition 5.13. *The sets* C *and* G *are interchanged by the involution* τ*, and are both isomorphic to the product* $\Gamma \times W^1_{1,5}$*.*

The intersection $\mathsf{C} \cap \mathsf{G} \subset W^1_{1,6}$ *is a finite cover of the curve* $W^1_{1,5}$*. If* Γ *can be represented as a plane septic with 8 nodes, the degree of this cover is* 16*.*

Proof. Given a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ in G, we consider, as in the proof of Theorem 5.11, part (A), the image $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{L}$ of the natural evaluation map $e_{\mathscr{O}_\Gamma,\mathcal{L}}$. We have $\mathcal{M} \in W^1_{1,5}$ and an exact sequence of the form (5.17), for some $y \in \Gamma$. This defines a map $\mathsf{G} \to \Gamma \times W^1_{1,5}$, which is injective since $\mathrm{Ext}^1_\Gamma(\mathscr{O}_y, \mathcal{M}) \cong \mathbb{C}$. This map is surjective too, indeed the unique extension from $\mathscr{O}_y$ to $\mathcal{M}$ must lie in $W^1_{1,6}$, since $W^2_{1,6}$ is empty by [Muk95a, Table 1]. Setting $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{M}^* \otimes \omega_\Gamma$, we have:

$$\mathrm{h}^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}) = \mathrm{h}^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{N}) = 2, \qquad \mathrm{h}^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}) = \mathrm{h}^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{N}) = 3.$$

It follows that $\mathcal{N}$ lies in $W^2_{1,7}$. Dualizing the sequence (5.17) and tensoring by ω_Γ, we obtain the exact sequence:

$$0 \to \tau(\mathcal{L}) \to \mathcal{N} \to \mathscr{O}_y \to 0. \tag{5.24}$$

So the line bundle $\tau(\mathcal{L})$ lies in C. Since this procedure is reversible, we have proved that the involution τ interchanges the subsets G and C. Note that the map $\tau : \mathcal{M} \mapsto \mathcal{M}^* \otimes \omega_\Gamma$ gives an isomorphism from $W^1_{1,5}$ to $W^2_{1,7}$.

Let us now describe the intersection $\mathsf{C} \cap \mathsf{G} \subset W^1_{1,6}$. Recall that the map $\varphi_{|\mathcal{N}|}$ associated to a given $\mathcal{N} \in W^2_{1,7}$ maps Γ to $\mathbb{P}^2$. This map is generically injective and the image is a curve of degree 7. This septic is smooth away from 8 distinct double points $y_1, \ldots, y_8$, see [IM07c, Lemma 2.6]. For each y_i we have a unique $\mathcal{M}_i \in W^1_{1,5}$ given by the projection from the double point y_i. On the other hand any subbundle $\mathcal{M} \in W^1_{1,5}$ of $\mathcal{N}$ must correspond to the projection from a double point y_i. Namely we will have:

$$0 \to \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{N} \to \mathscr{O}_{Z_i} \to 0,$$

where Z_i is the subscheme of Γ over the double point y_i.

Now fix a line bundle $\mathcal{N}$ in $W^2_{1,7}$. A subbundle $\mathcal{L} \in \mathsf{C}$ of $\mathcal{N}$ corresponds to the projection from a smooth point y as soon as $\mathcal{L}$ is globally generated. Therefore, $\mathcal{L}$ lies in $\mathsf{C} \cap \mathsf{G}$ if and only if we have:

$$\mathcal{M}_i \subset \mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{N}, \tag{5.25}$$

for some $i = 1, \ldots, 8$. Then $\mathcal{L}$ must be of the form $\mathcal{M}_i(z)$ for some point z in Γ lying over the double point y_i. The number of such z is finite for each y_i. Thus we have realized $\mathsf{C} \cap \mathsf{G}$ as a finite cover of $W^1_{1,5}$.

If there is one line bundle $\mathcal{N}$ such that the image of Γ under $\varphi_{|\mathcal{N}_i|}$ has 8 nodes, then for any i there are two points $z_{i,1}$, $z_{i,2}$ of Γ which are mapped to y_i by $\varphi_{|\mathcal{N}_i|}$. Then we set

$\mathcal{L}_{i,j} = \mathcal{M}_i(z_{i,j})$, and the line bundles $\mathcal{L}_{i,j}$ fit into the inclusions (5.25). Hence the degree of the cover is 16. $\square$

We consider now the pull-back θ of τ to $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6)$, i.e. we set:

$$\theta : \mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6) \to \mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6), \qquad \theta = \varphi^{-1} \circ \tau \circ \varphi.$$

We will next show that θ can be seen on $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6)$ in terms of the functor T of Corollary 2.9.

Proposition 5.14. *Let F be an element of $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6)$. Then we have:*

i) the sheaf F is not locally free if and only if $\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F)$ lies in G.
ii) the sheaf F is not globally generated if and only if $\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F)$ lies in C.

Moreover the function θ is an involution which interchanges the two subsets of sheaves which are not locally free, and not globally generated.

For each F in $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6)$ we have:

$$\theta(F) = \varphi^{-1}(T(F)) = \varphi^{-1}\mathbf{\Phi}^!(\mathbf{R}\mathscr{H}om_X(F, \mathscr{O}_X))[1]. \tag{5.26}$$

Finally, $\theta(F)$ is isomorphic to both the cones of

$$\mathbf{R}\mathscr{H}om_X\left((\mathrm{H}^0(X,F)^* \otimes \mathscr{O}_X \to F), \mathscr{O}_X\right)[-1] \qquad \textit{and:} \tag{5.27}$$

$$\mathbf{R}\mathscr{H}om_X(F, \mathscr{O}_X) \to \mathrm{H}^0(X,F)^* \otimes \mathscr{O}_X. \tag{5.28}$$

Proof. We have already proved the implication "$\Leftarrow$" of (i) in Lemma 5.6. To prove the converse, we consider a sheaf F which is not locally free. Then F fits into an exact sequence of the form (3.9). Applying the functor $\mathbf{\Phi}^!$ to this sequence and setting $\mathcal{L} = \mathbf{\Phi}^!(F)$ we obtain an exact sequence of the form (5.17) for some $\mathcal{M}$ in $W^1_{1,5}$ (see Lemma 4.1). Since $\mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}) \cong \mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{L})$, the evaluation map $\mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}) \otimes \mathscr{O}_\Gamma \to \mathcal{L}$ cannot be surjective, so $\mathcal{L}$ lies in G.

To prove (ii), in view of Lemma 5.12, we have to show that the sheaf F fits into (5.19), for some I fitting in (5.20) if and only if the line bundle $\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F)$ lies in C . To show "$\Rightarrow$", we consider a sheaf F fitting into an exact sequence of the form (5.19). Recall by Lemma 4.1 that $\mathcal{N} = \mathbf{\Phi}^!(\mathscr{O}_L(-1))$ lies in $W^2_{1,7}$. Since $\mathbf{\Phi}^!(\mathscr{O}_X) = 0$, by the exact sequence (5.20) we have:

$$\mathbf{\Phi}^!(I)[1] \cong \mathbf{\Phi}^!(\mathscr{E}_y) \cong \mathscr{O}_y.$$

Thus applying the functor $\mathbf{\Phi}^!$ to (5.19) we obtain an exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathbf{\Phi}^!(F) \to \mathcal{N} \to \mathscr{O}_y \to 0,$$

and $\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F)$ lies in C.

To prove the converse implication, we consider a globally generated sheaf F and the exact sequence:

$$0 \to K \to \mathrm{H}^0(X,F) \otimes \mathscr{O}_X \to F \to 0. \tag{5.29}$$

Remark that K is a locally free sheaf and K^* lies in $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6)$ as well. We note that, applying (2.29), we get the natural isomorphism:

$$\mathbf{\Phi}^!(K) \cong \mathbf{\Phi}^!(K^*)^* \otimes \omega_\Gamma[-1].$$

On the other hand, by (5.29) we get $\mathbf{\Phi}^!(K) \cong \mathbf{\Phi}^!(F)[-1]$. Then we have:

$$\mathbf{\Phi}^!(F) \cong \tau(\mathbf{\Phi}^!(K^*)).$$

But $\mathbf{\Phi}^!(K^*)$ is globally generated by Lemma 5.6, hence we are done since τ interchanges C and G. We have thus established (i) and (ii).

It follows that θ interchanges the sheaves which are not locally free, and the sheaves which are not globally generated, and clearly θ is an involution.

To show the expression (5.26) of θ, recall that $\mathbf{\Phi}^! \circ T = \tau \circ \mathbf{\Phi}^!$ by Corollary 2.9. Therefore, for any F in $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6)$ we have $\theta(F) = \varphi^{-1}(\mathbf{\Phi}^!(T(F)))$. Since for any object a in $\mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{b}}(X)$ we have $\mathbf{\Phi}^!(\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{\Phi}^!(a))) \cong \mathbf{\Phi}^!(a)$, it follows that $\theta(F) = \varphi^{-1}\mathbf{\Phi}^!(\mathbf{R}\mathscr{H}om_X(F,\mathscr{O}_X))[1]$.

In order to check the expression (5.27), note that if F is globally generated then (5.29) holds. Dualizing we get K^* as cone of (5.27) and we have just seen that K^* is $\theta(F)$. If F is not globally generated, we dualize (5.19) and (5.20). We get our cone as F' in the exact sequence:

$$0 \to F^* \to \mathrm{H}^0(X,F)^* \otimes \mathscr{O}_X \to F' \to \mathscr{E}xt^1_X(F,\mathscr{O}_X) \to 0.$$

Then, we easily see that F' is a sheaf in $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6)$ which is clearly $\theta(F)$ if F is locally free (apply again θ). Otherwise F' fails to be globally generated on the line where F is not locally free. In this case $F^* = \mathscr{E}_y^*$ is also the kernel of the evaluation map $e_{\mathscr{O}_X,F'}$. This gives the conclusion by the above analysis. The proof of the expression (5.28) is analogous. □

Corollary 5.15. *Let F be a sheaf in $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6)$, and let $M, N \subset X$ be two distinct lines. Then F is not globally generated over N and not locally free over M if and only if M and N meet at a point of X and:*

i) the line bundle $\mathcal{L} = \mathbf{\Phi}^!(F)$ fits into $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{N}$, where we set $\mathcal{M} = \mathbf{\Phi}^!(\mathscr{O}_M)[-1]$ and $\mathcal{N} = \mathbf{\Phi}^!(\mathscr{O}_N(-1))$,

ii) the conic $C = M \cup N$ is such that $\mathbf{\Phi}^!(\mathscr{O}_C) = \mathcal{N}/\mathcal{M}$.

Proof. Assume that F is not globally generated over N and consider the long exact sequence provided by Lemma 5.12:

$$0 \to \mathscr{E}_y^* \to \mathrm{H}^0(X,F) \otimes \mathscr{O}_X \to F \to \mathscr{O}_N(-1) \to 0, \tag{5.30}$$

for some $y \in \Gamma$. Assume further that F is not locally free over M so that we have an exact sequence of the form:

$$0 \to F \to \mathscr{E}_z \to \mathscr{O}_M \to 0, \tag{5.31}$$

for some $z \in \Gamma$.

Let us apply the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_X(\mathscr{O}_L, -)$ to the first exact sequence. Using Serre duality and the splitting of $\mathscr{E}_y$ as $\mathscr{O}_M \oplus \mathscr{O}_M(1)$ over M, we easily see that, whenever M and N are disjoint, we have $\mathrm{Ext}^1_X(\mathscr{O}_M, F) = 0$, which is absurd by (5.31). We assume thus that M and N meet at a point of X. By the proof of the previous proposition and of Theorem 5.11, part A we have the inclusions required for (i). By the same reason we get two exact sequences over Γ:

$$0 \to \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{L} \to \mathscr{O}_z \to 0, \qquad 0 \to \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{N} \to \mathscr{O}_z \to 0.$$

Then we have $\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{M} = \mathscr{O}_{y,z}$. Patching together (5.30), (5.31) and the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathscr{O}_N(-1) \to \mathscr{O}_C \to \mathscr{O}_M \to 0, \tag{5.32}$$

one obtains a long exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathscr{E}_y^* \to \mathrm{H}^0(X,F) \otimes \mathscr{O}_X \to \mathscr{E}_z \to \mathscr{O}_C \to 0.$$

Applying $\mathbf{\Phi}^!$ we obtain $\mathbf{\Phi}^!(\mathscr{O}_C) \cong \mathscr{O}_{y,z}$, which gives (ii).

By the proofs of Theorem 5.11, part (A) and of the previous proposition, the whole the construction is reversible, hence (i) and (ii) are also sufficient conditions. □

The following lemma follows a suggestion of Dimitri Markushevich.

Lemma 5.16. *The set of singular points $\mathcal{L}$ of $W^1_{1,6}$, such that $\mathcal{L}$ is globally generated, is in bijection with the set of even effective theta-characteristics on Γ. In particular, this set if finite. Moreover, it is empty if Γ is outside a divisor in the moduli space of curves of genus 7, and of cardinality 1 if Γ is general in that divisor.*

Proof. According to Mukai's classification in [Muk95a]), the smooth curve section Γ of the spinor 10-fold satisfies $W^2_{1,6} = \emptyset$, and a general curve of genus 7 is of this form. Now recall that a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ lies in the singular locus of $W^1_{1,6}$ if and only if the Petri map:

$$\pi_{\mathcal{L}} : \mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}) \otimes \mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}^* \otimes \omega_\Gamma) \to \mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma, \omega_\Gamma).$$

is not injective. Note that the kernel of this map is isomorphic to $\mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}^* \otimes \mathcal{L}^* \otimes \omega_\Gamma)$. Therefore, the above map is injective unless $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L} \cong \omega_\Gamma$, which means that $\mathcal{L}$ is an even effective theta-characteristic (even here means that $\mathrm{h}^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{L})$ is an even number, 2 in this case).

By [TiB87, Theorem 2.16] the set of curves of genus 7 admitting an even effective theta-characteristic form a divisor in the moduli space of curves of genus 7, and the general curve in this divisor has precisely one even effective theta-characteristic. This concludes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 5.11, part B. Let us assume X to be non-exotic, and prove that $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6)$ has at most finitely many singular points. In view of Theorem 5.11, part A, the space $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6)$ is isomorphic to $W^1_{1,6}$. The number of singular points of $W^1_{1,6}$ which correspond to globally generated line bundles is finite by Lemma 5.16.

We consider thus a line bundle $\mathcal{L} \in \mathsf{G}$ which is a singular point of $W^1_{1,6}$. Since τ is an isomorphism, we can also assume that $\tau(\mathcal{L})$ is not globally generated, i.e. $\mathcal{L} \in \mathsf{C} \cap \mathsf{G}$. In particular we must have an exact sequence of the form (5.17), and there exists $\mathcal{N} \in W^2_{1,7}$ such that $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{N}$. Applying τ, we also get $\tau(\mathcal{N}) \subset \tau(\mathcal{L}) \subset \tau(\mathcal{M})$. Now, as in the proof of Lemma 5.16, we note that $\tau(\mathcal{L})$ lies in the singular locus of $W^1_{1,6}$ if and only if the Petri map $\pi_{\mathcal{L}}$ is not injective. In this case the kernel is isomorphic to $\mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma, \tau(\mathcal{N})^* \otimes \mathcal{L})$. Since we assume that this space is non-zero, we have an inclusion $\tau(\mathcal{N}) \subset \mathcal{L}$. It follows that $\mathcal{M} \cong \tau(\mathcal{N})$, since $\mathcal{L}$ contains a unique line bundle lying in $W^1_{1,5}$. We have thus an inclusion $\mathcal{M} \subset \tau(\mathcal{M})$, which means $\mathrm{H}^0(\Gamma, \mathcal{M}^* \otimes \mathcal{M}^* \otimes \omega_\Gamma) \neq 0$, so that $\mathcal{M}$ is a singular point of $W^1_{1,5}$ (see Remark 4.2). Since $W^1_{1,5} \cong \mathscr{H}^0_1(X)$ by Lemma 4.1, and since X is not exotic, the number of singular points of this form in $W^1_{1,6}$ is finite, and we are done.

Finally, note that if X is general, then the curve Γ is general. Then it is well-known that $W^1_{1,6}$ is smooth and irreducible, see for instance [ACGH85, V, Theorem 1.6]. It follows that $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6)$ is a smooth irreducible threefold. □

5.5. **The space $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6)$ as a subspace of $\mathsf{M}_S(2,1,6)$.** In this section we let X be a *general* prime Fano threefold of genus 7. Let S be a general hyperplane section of X. Assume in particular that S is a K3 surface of Picard number 1 and sectional genus 7. In this paragraph we will show that $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6)$ is isomorphic to a Lagrangian submanifold of $\mathsf{M}_S(2,1,6)$. This provides an instance of a general remark of Tyurin, [Tyu04].

Note that given a sheaf $F \in \mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6)$, in view of Proposition 3.7, its restriction $F_S = F \otimes \mathscr{O}_S$ is torsion-free as soon as S does not contain lines. So in this section we will assume that the general hyperplane section S does not contain any line.

We want to prove now that given *any* sheaf $F \in \mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6)$, its restriction F_S is stable. Assume first that F_S is locally free. By Proposition 3.9, we know that $\mathrm{H}^1(X, F(-2)) = 0$. Hence, by the exact sequence (3.16) with $t = 0$, and by (3.10), it follows that $\mathrm{H}^0(S, F_S(-1)) = 0$. This implies that F_S is stable, by Hoppe's criterion. Assume now that F_S is not locally free. Then by Proposition 3.7 it fits in the exact sequence (3.9) for some stable vector bundle $E \in \mathsf{M}_X(2,1,5)$ and a line $L \subset X$. Since E is stable and ACM by Proposition 3.5, it easily follows by the restriction sequence that $\mathrm{H}^0(S, E_S(-1)) = 0$, hence E_S is stable. Then if there exists a destabilizing subsheaf of F_S, it would destabilize also E_S, a contradiction.

Hence we define a restriction map:

$$\rho_S : \mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6) \to \mathsf{M}_S(2,1,6), \qquad F \mapsto F_S.$$

Lemma 5.17 (Tyurin). *Let S be a general hyperplane section of X. Then the map ρ_S is a closed immersion.*

Proof. We prove that the differential $d(\rho_S)_F$ is injective at any point F of $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6)$. Applying the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_X(F,-)$ to (3.16) (with $t=0$) we get:

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_X(F,F(-1)) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1_X(F,F) \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathrm{Ext}^1_X(F,F_S).$$

Note that the leftmost term in the above sequence vanishes since by Serre duality $\mathrm{Ext}^1_X(F,F(-1)) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^2_X(F,F)^*$, and this group vanishes for $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6)$ is a non-singular threefold by Theorem 5.11, part B. So δ is injective. Recall that $\mathrm{Ext}^1_X(F,F)$ is naturally isomorphic to $T_F\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6)$, hence we are done if we prove that $\mathrm{Ext}^1_X(F,F_S)$ is naturally isomorphic to $T_{F_S}\mathsf{M}_S(2,1,6)$.

Let us prove that $\mathrm{Ext}^1_X(F,F_S) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^1_S(F_S,F_S)$. Indeed, denoting by ι the inclusion of the surface S in X, we have

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_X(F,F_S) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^1_X(F,\iota_*\iota^*F) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^1_S(\iota^*F,\iota^*F) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^1_S(F_S,F_S),$$

where the second isomorphism above holds if $\mathbf{L}_k\iota^*(F)=0$ for any $k>0$. But this is true since F_S is torsion-free. This concludes the proof. □

Lemma 5.18. *Let F, F' be two sheaves in $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6)$.*

- *i) If F is globally generated, then $\mathrm{Ext}^1_X(F,F'(-1)) \neq 0$ if and only if $F'=\theta(F)$.*
- *ii) If F is not globally generated over the line $L \subset X$, then $\mathrm{Ext}^1_X(F,F'(-1)) \neq 0$ if and only if F is not locally free over L.*

Proof. We assume first that F is globally generated. We have an exact sequence of the form:

$$0 \to K \to \mathrm{H}^0(X,F)\otimes \mathscr{O}_X \to F \to 0, \tag{5.33}$$

and K is a reflexive sheaf by [Har80, Proposition 1.1], hence $K(1)$ is a locally free sheaf in $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6)$, which is precisely $\theta(F)$ by Proposition 5.14. Applying $\mathrm{Hom}_X(-,F'(-1))$ to (5.33), one obtains $\mathrm{Hom}_X(K,F'(-1)) \neq 0$, so $F' \cong K(1) \cong \theta(F)$.

Assume now that F is not globally generated. Applying the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_X(-,F'(-1))$ to (5.19) we get $\mathrm{Ext}^1_X(F,F'(-1)) = \mathrm{Ext}^1_X(\mathscr{O}_L,F')$. Indeed we compute $\mathrm{Hom}_X(I,F'(-1))=0$ by stability and we can easily check that $\mathrm{Ext}^1_X(I,F'(-1))=0$ by applying $\mathrm{Hom}_X(-,F'(-1))$ to (5.20). Hence, since by Proposition 3.7 we have that $\mathrm{Ext}^1_X(\mathscr{O}_L,F') \neq 0$ if and only if F' is not locally free over the line L, we conclude the proof. □

Proposition 5.19. *Let $F \not\cong F'$ be two sheaves in $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6)$, fix a general hyperplane section S, and assume $F_S \cong F'_S$. Then,*

- *i) assuming F globally generated, we have $F'=\theta(F)$,*
- *ii) otherwise there are lines $L, L' \subset X$ with $L\cap L' \in S$ such that F (resp. F') is not globally generated over L' (resp. L) and not locally free over L (resp. L').*

Moreover, the set of sheaves F in $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6)$ admitting a sheaf F' such that $F_S \cong F'_S$ is finite.

Proof. Assume that the sheaf F_S is isomorphic to F'_S. We compose the projection $F \to F_S$ with this isomorphism to obtain a nonzero map $F \to F'_S$. In view of the exact sequence:

$$0 \to F'(-1) \to F' \to F'_S \to 0,$$

it is easy to see that the map $F \to F'_S$ lifts to an isomorphisms $F \cong F'$ if $\mathrm{Ext}^1(F,F'(-1))=0$. We can assume thus that this group is non-trivial. This proves (i) if F is globally generated thanks to Lemma 5.18.

Let us now prove the final statement, still under the assumption that F is globally generated. Set $F'=\theta(F)=K(1)$, and recall that F' is locally free. We consider the symmetric square of (5.33):

$$0 \to \mathrm{Sym}^2(F')^* \to \mathrm{H}^0(X,F)\otimes(F')^* \to \wedge^2\,\mathrm{H}^0(X,F)\otimes\mathscr{O}_X \to \mathscr{O}_X(1) \to 0,$$

and we take global sections. Since $\mathrm{H}^0(X,(F')^*) = 0$, $\wedge^2(F')^* \cong \mathscr{O}_X(-1)$ and $\mathrm{H}^1(X,\mathrm{Sym}^2(F')^*) \cong \mathrm{H}^1(X,(F')^* \otimes (F')^*) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^2_X(F',F')^* = 0$, we obtain an injection $\iota_F : \wedge^2 \mathrm{H}^0(X,F) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(X,\mathscr{O}_X(1))$. Note that $\dim(\mathrm{cok}(\iota_F)) = 3$, hence setting $\Lambda_F = \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{cok}(\iota_F)) \subset \mathbb{P}^8 = \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{H}^0(X,\mathscr{O}_X(1)))$, we define a correspondence:

$$\Lambda : \mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6) \to \mathbb{G}(2,8), \quad \Lambda : F \mapsto \Lambda_F.$$

Clearly we have $\dim(\mathrm{Im}(\Lambda)) \leq 3$.

Now we fix a general hyperplane section S. Taking global sections of the restriction of the symmetric square of (5.33), we obtain an exact commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \wedge^2 \mathrm{H}^0(X,F) \arrow[r, "\iota_F"] \arrow[d, equal] & \mathrm{H}^0(X,\mathscr{O}_X(1)) \arrow[d] \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^1(S,\mathrm{Sym}^2 F_S^*) \arrow[r] & \wedge^2 \mathrm{H}^0(S,F_S) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{H}^0(S,\mathscr{O}_S(1)).
\end{tikzcd} \tag{5.34}$$

Note that $\mathrm{H}^1(S,\mathrm{Sym}^2 F_S^*) \neq 0$. Indeed since $K_S \cong F_S^*$, then the exact sequence (5.33) (restricted to S) provides a non-trivial element in $\mathrm{Ext}^1_S(F_S,F_S^*) \cong \mathrm{H}^1(S,F_S^* \otimes F_S^*) \cong \mathrm{H}^1(S,\mathrm{Sym}^2 F_S^*)$, where we use $\wedge^2 F_S^* \cong \mathscr{O}_S(-1)$.

Then the diagram (5.34) induces a projection $\mathrm{H}^0(S,\mathscr{O}_S(1)) \to \mathrm{cok}(\iota_F)$ and so the hyperplane defining the surface S must contain Λ_F. We denote by $\mathbb{G}_S \cong \mathbb{G}(2,7)$ the set of planes of $\mathbb{G}(2,8)$ contained in $\mathbb{P}(\mathrm{H}^0(S,\mathscr{O}_S(1))) = \mathbb{P}^7$, where we use the projective notation for the Grassmannians and the projectivization of a vector space is the variety of all its hyperplanes. We have proved that if ρ_S is not injective at F, then $\Lambda_F \in \mathbb{G}_S$. Clearly $\mathbb{G}_S \subset \mathbb{G}(2,8)$ is a subvariety of codimension 3 and corresponds to the choice of a general global section of the rank 3 universal bundle on $\mathbb{G}(2,8)$, which is globally generated. Hence, since the section corresponding to S is general, using Bertini theorem for globally generated vector bundles (see e.g. [Kle69], or [Ott95]), we conclude that the set of planes contained in $\mathrm{Im}(\Lambda) \cap \mathbb{G}_S$ must be finite. This proves the second statement.

It remains to prove the proposition when F is not globally generated (say over a line $L' \subset X$, see Lemma 5.12). The sheaf F_S thus fails to be globally generated over the point $x = L' \cap S$. In turn, the sheaf F' is not globally generated, say over a line $L \subset X$, and we must have either $L = L'$, or $L \cap L' = x$.

In the first case, we will show $F \cong F'$. Indeed, by Lemma 5.12 we know that F and F' fit in (5.19), (5.20), and

$$0 \to I' \to F' \to \mathscr{O}_L(-1) \to 0, \tag{5.35}$$

$$0 \to \mathscr{E}_{y'}^* \to \mathrm{H}^0(X,F') \otimes \mathscr{O}_X \to I' \to 0. \tag{5.36}$$

Restricting the sequences (5.19) and (5.35) to S, we get $I_S \cong I'_S$. Note that $\mathrm{H}^1(S,\mathscr{E}_{y'}^*) = 0$ by Proposition 3.5. Then from the sequences (5.20) and (5.36), we deduce that $(\mathscr{E}_y^*)_S \cong (\mathscr{E}_{y'}^*)_S$. But this implies the isomorphism $\mathscr{E}_y \cong \mathscr{E}_{y'}$, and thus the isomorphism $F \cong F'$. Indeed the restriction map from $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,5) \to \mathsf{M}_S(2,1,5)$ is known to be injective, because it corresponds to the embedding of Γ as linear section of $\mathsf{M}_S(2,1,5)$.

Then we may assume $L \cap L' = x$. By Lemma 5.18, since $\mathrm{Ext}^1_X(F,F'(-1)) \neq 0$, then we know that F is not locally free over the line L. From the sequences (5.20) and (5.36), we deduce that $(\mathscr{E}_y^*)_S \cong (\mathscr{E}_{y'}^*)_S$ so $y = y'$. Set $E = F^{**}$, and recall that E belongs to $\mathsf{M}(2,1,5)$, so $E = \mathscr{E}_z$ for some $z \in \Gamma$. Then we have $(F'_S)^{**} \cong E_S$, hence $(F')^{**} \cong E$, again since the restriction $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,5) \to \mathsf{M}_S(2,1,5)$ is injective. Hence F' is not locally free either, and in turn its non-locally free locus must be L', i.e. we have:

$$0 \to F' \to E \to \mathscr{O}_{L'} \to 0. \tag{5.37}$$

We have thus proved (ii). Note also that, by Corollary 5.15, the conic $C = L \cup L'$ is such that $\mathbf{\Phi}^!(\mathscr{O}_C) = \mathscr{O}_{y,z}$.

To check the second part of the statement in this case, we note that, since S is general, the curve spanned by the intersection points of lines in $\mathscr{H}_1^0(X)$ meets S at a finite number of points. Any pair of sheaves (F, F') such that we $F_S \cong F'_S$ with F not globally generated determines one such point x. And conversely given such x we may choose in a finite number of ways two lines L, L' through x (for there are finitely many lines through x), and a point z of $\mathbf{\Phi}^!(\mathscr{O}_{L\cup L'})$. This choice determines F and F' as kernels of $\mathscr{E}_Z \to \mathscr{O}_L$ and $\mathscr{E}_Z \to \mathscr{O}_{L'}$, so the set of pairs of non-globally generated sheaves (F, F') having isomorphic restrictions to S is finite. □

Recall that $\mathsf{M}_S(2,1,6)$ is a holomorphic symplectic manifold with respect to the Mukai form, see [Muk84].

Theorem 5.20. *Let X be a general prime Fano threefold of genus 7, S be a general hyperplane section of X, and let ρ_S be the restriction map from $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6)$ to $\mathsf{M}_S(2,1,6)$. The image $\rho_S(\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6))$ is a Lagrangian subvariety of $\mathsf{M}_S(2,1,6)$ with finitely many double points.*

Proof. Recall that since X is general, then $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6)$ is smooth, by Theorem 5.11, part B. We have seen in Lemma 5.17 and Proposition 5.19 that ρ_S is a closed embedding outside a finite subset R of $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6)$.

By the proof of Proposition 5.19, we have that the preimage of a singular point of $\rho_S(\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6))$ consists of precisely two points of $\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6)$, hence the singular locus consists of double points.

The image $\rho_S(\mathsf{M}_X(2,1,6) \setminus R)$ is a Lagrangian submanifold by a remark of Tyurin, see [Tyu04, Proposition 2.2]. □

References

[AO89] Vincenzo Ancona and Giorgio Ottaviani, *An introduction to the derived categories and the theorem of Beilinson*, Atti Accad. Peloritana Pericolanti Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur., **67** (1989), 99–110 (1991).

[AO94] ———, *Stability of special instanton bundles on* $\mathbf{P}^{2n+1}$, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **341** (1994), no. 2, 677–693.

[ACGH85] Enrico Arbarello, Maurizio Cornalba, Phillip A. Griffiths, and Joe Harris, *Geometry of algebraic curves. Vol. I*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences] vol. 267, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.

[AF06] Enrique Arrondo and Daniele Faenzi, *Vector bundles with no intermediate cohomology on Fano threefolds of type* V_{22}, Pacific J. Math. **225** (2006), no. 2, 201–220.

[ADHM78] Michael F. Atiyah, Nigel J. Hitchin, Vladimir G. Drinfel'd, and Yuri I. Manin, *Construction of instantons*, Phys. Lett. A **65** (1978), no. 3, 185–187. MR MR598562 (82g:81049)

[BPS80] Constantin Bănică, Mihai Putinar, and Georg Schumacher, *Variation der globalen Ext in Deformationen kompakter komplexer Räume*, Math. Ann. **250** (1980), no. 2, 135–155.

[Bar77] Wolf Barth, *Some properties of stable rank-2 vector bundles on* $\mathbf{P}_n$, Math. Ann. **226** (1977), no. 2, 125–150.

[Bea02] Arnaud Beauville, *Vector bundles on the cubic threefold*, Symposium in Honor of C. H. Clemens (Salt Lake City, UT, 2000), Contemp. Math., vol. 312, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002, pp. 71–86.

[Bei78] Alexander A. Beilinson, *Coherent sheaves on* $\mathbf{P}^n$ *and problems in linear algebra*, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **12** (1978), no. 3, 68–69.

[BF98] Aaron Bertram and Burt Feinberg, *On stable rank two bundles with canonical determinant and many sections*, Algebraic geometry (Catania, 1993/Barcelona, 1994), Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 200, Dekker, New York, 1998, pp. 259–269.

[BH78] Wolf Barth and Klaus Hulek, *Monads and moduli of vector bundles*, Manuscripta Math. **25** (1978), no. 4, 323–347.

[BMR94] Edoardo Ballico and Rosa M. Miró-Roig, *Cohomology of generic rank 2 stable bundles on Fano 3-folds of index 2*, Projective geometry with applications, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 166, Dekker, New York, 1994, pp. 197–213.

[BF08] Maria Chiara Brambilla and Daniele Faenzi, *Moduli spaces of rank 2 ACM bundles on prime Fano threefolds*, to appear in Michigan Math. J., http://arxiv.org/abs/0806.2265.

[CG72] C. Herbert Clemens and Phillip A. Griffiths, *The intermediate Jacobian of the cubic threefold*, Ann. of Math. (2) **95** (1972), 281–356.

[Con00] Brian Conrad, *Grothendieck duality and base change*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1750, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.

[Dru00] Stéphane Druel, *Espace des modules des faisceaux de rang 2 semi-stables de classes de Chern* $c_1 = 0$, $c_2 = 2$ *et* $c_3 = 0$ *sur la cubique de* $\mathbf{P}^4$, Internat. Math. Res. Notices (2000), no. 19, 985–1004.

[ES84] Lawrence Ein and Ignacio Sols, *Stable vector bundles on quadric hypersurfaces*, Nagoya Math. J. **96** (1984), 11–22.

[Fae07] Daniele Faenzi, *Bundles over Fano threefolds of type* V_{22}, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **186** (2007), no. 1, 1–24.

[GM96] Sergei I. Gelfand and Yuri I. Manin, *Methods of homological algebra*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996, Translated from the 1988 Russian original.

[Gor90] Alexei L. Gorodentsev, *Exceptional objects and mutations in derived categories*, Helices and vector bundles, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 148, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990, pp. 57–73.

[GLN06] Laurent Gruson, Fatima Laytimi, and Donihakkalu S. Nagaraj, *On prime Fano threefolds of genus 9*, Internat. J. Math. **17** (2006), no. 3, 253–261.

[Gus82] N. P. Gushel′, *Fano varieties of genus* 6, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **46** (1982), no. 6, 1159–1174, 1343.

[Gus83] ______, *Fano varieties of genus* 8, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **38** (1983), no. 1(229), 163–164.

[Gus92] ______, *Fano* 3*-folds of genus* 8, Algebra i Analiz **4** (1992), no. 1, 120–134.

[Har92] Joe Harris, *Algebraic geometry - A first course*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 133.

[Har66] Robin Hartshorne, *Residues and duality*, Lecture notes of a seminar on the work of A. Grothendieck, given at Harvard 1963/64. With an appendix by P. Deligne. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 20, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1966.

[Har77] ______, *Algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52.

[Har80] ______, *Stable reflexive sheaves*, Math. Ann. **254** (1980), no. 2, 121–176.

[Hop84] Hans Jürgen Hoppe, *Generischer Spaltungstyp und zweite Chernklasse stabiler Vektorraumbündel vom Rang* 4 *auf* $\mathbf{P}_4$, Math. Z. **187** (1984), no. 3, 345–360.

[HL97] Daniel Huybrechts and Manfred Lehn, *The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves*, Aspects of Mathematics, E31, Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1997.

[IM00a] Atanas Iliev and Dimitri Markushevich, *The Abel-Jacobi map for a cubic threefold and periods of Fano threefolds of degree 14*, Doc. Math. **5** (2000), 23–47 (electronic).

[IM00b] ______, *Quartic 3-fold: Pfaffians, vector bundles, and half-canonical curves*, Michigan Math. J. **47** (2000), no. 2, 385–394.

[IM04a] ______, *Elliptic curves and rank-2 vector bundles on the prime Fano threefold of genus 7*, Adv. Geom. **4** (2004), no. 3, 287–318.

[IM07c] ______, *Parametrization of sing* Θ *for a Fano 3-fold of genus 7 by moduli of vector bundles*, Asian J. Math. **11** (2007), no. 3, 427–458.

[IM07] Atanas Iliev and Laurent Manivel, *Pfaffian lines and vector bundles on Fano threefolds of genus 8*, J. Algebraic Geom. **16** (2007), no. 3, 499–530.

[IR05] Atanas Iliev and Kristian Ranestad, *Geometry of the Lagrangian Grassmannian* $\mathbf{LG}(3, 6)$ *with applications to Brill-Noether loci*, Michigan Math. J. **53** (2005), no. 2, 383–417.

[Isk78] Vasilii A. Iskovskih, *Fano threefolds. II*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **42** (1978), no. 3, 506–549, English translation in Math. U.S.S.R. Izvestija **12** (1978) no. 3 , 469–506 (translated by Miles Reid).

[IP99] Vasilii A. Iskovskikh and Yuri G. Prokhorov, *Fano varieties*, Algebraic geometry, V, Encyclopaedia Math. Sci., vol. 47, Springer, Berlin, 1999, pp. 1–247.

[Kap88] Mikhail Kapranov, *On the derived categories of coherent sheaves on some homogeneous spaces*, Invent. Math., **92** (1988), no. 3, 479–508.

[Kle69] Steven L. Kleiman, *Geometry on Grassmannians and applications to splitting bundles and smoothing cycles*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1969), no. 36, 281–297.

[Kol96] János Kollár, *Rational curves on algebraic varieties*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics, [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], vol. 32, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.

[Kuz96] Alexander G. Kuznetsov, *An exceptional set of vector bundles on the varieties* V_{22}, Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh. (1996), no. 3, 41–44, 92.

[Kuz05] ______, *Derived categories of the Fano threefolds* V_{12}, Mat. Zametki **78** (2005), no. 4, 579–594, English translation in Math. Notes **78**, no. 3-4, 537–550 (2005).

[Kuz06] ______, *Hyperplane sections and derived categories*, Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat. **70** (2006), no. 3, 23–128.

[Mad00] Carlo Madonna, *Rank-two vector bundles on general quartic hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^4$*, Rev. Mat. Complut. **13** (2000), no. 2, 287–301.

[Mad02] ———, *ACM vector bundles on prime Fano threefolds and complete intersection Calabi-Yau threefolds*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. **47** (2002), no. 2, 211–222 (2003).

[MT01] Dimitri Markushevich and Aleksandr S. Tikhomirov, *The Abel-Jacobi map of a moduli component of vector bundles on the cubic threefold*, J. Algebraic Geom. **10** (2001), no. 1, 37–62.

[Mar76] Masaki Maruyama, *Openness of a family of torsion free sheaves*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **16** (1976), no. 3, 627–637.

[Mar80] Masaki Maruyama, *Boundedness of semistable sheaves of small ranks*, Nagoya Math. J. **78** (1980), 65–94.

[Mar81] ———, *On boundedness of families of torsion free sheaves*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **21** (1981), no. 4, 673–701.

[Mer99] Vincent Mercat, *Le problème de Brill-Noether pour des fibrés stables de petite pente*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **506** (1999), 1–41.

[Moĭ67] Boris G. Moĭšezon, *Algebraic homology classes on algebraic varieties*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **31** (1967), 225–268.

[Muk84] Shigeru Mukai, *Symplectic structure of the moduli space of sheaves on an abelian or $K3$ surface*, Invent. Math. **77** (1984), no. 1, 101–116.

[Muk88] ———, *Curves, $K3$ surfaces and Fano 3-folds of genus ≤ 10*, Algebraic geometry and commutative algebra, Vol. I, Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1988, pp. 357–377.

[Muk89] ———, *Biregular classification of Fano 3-folds and Fano manifolds of coindex 3*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **86** (1989), no. 9, 3000–3002.

[Muk92] ———, *Curves and symmetric spaces*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **68** (1992), no. 1, 7–10.

[Muk95a] ———, *Curves and symmetric spaces. I*, Amer. J. Math. **117** (1995), no. 6, 1627–1644.

[Muk95b] ———, *Vector bundles and Brill-Noether theory*, Current topics in complex algebraic geometry (Berkeley, CA, 1992/93), Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., vol. 28, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995, pp. 145–158.

[Muk01] ———, *Non-abelian Brill-Noether theory and Fano 3-folds* [translation of Sūgaku **49** (1997), no. 1, 1–24; MR 99b:14012], Sugaku Expositions **14** (2001), no. 2, 125–153, Sugaku Expositions.

[MU83] Shigeru Mukai and Hiroshi Umemura, *Minimal rational threefolds*, Algebraic geometry (Tokyo/Kyoto, 1982), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1016, Springer, Berlin, 1983, pp. 490–518.

[Ott95] Giorgio Ottaviani, *Varietà proiettive di codimensione piccola*, Quaderni INDAM, Aracne, Roma 1995.

[OS94] Giorgio Ottaviani and Michał Szurek, *On moduli of stable 2-bundles with small Chern classes on Q_3*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **167** (1994), 191–241, With an appendix by Nicolae Manolache.

[OSS80] Christian Okonek, Michael Schneider, and Heinz Spindler, *Vector bundles on complex projective spaces*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 3, Birkhäuser Boston, Mass., 1980.

[Pro90] Yuri G. Prokhorov, *Exotic Fano varieties*, Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh. (1990), no. 3, 34–37, 111.

[Sch01] Frank-Olaf Schreyer, *Geometry and algebra of prime Fano 3-folds of genus 12*, Compositio Math. **127** (2001), no. 3, 297–319.

[SS85] Bernard Shiffman and Andrew John Sommese, *Vanishing theorems on complex manifolds*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 56, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1985.

[Ten74] Barry Roy Tennison, *On the quartic threefold*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **29** (1974), 714–734.

[TiB87] Montserrat Teixidor i Bigas, *Half-canonical series on algebraic curves*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **302** (1987), no. 1, 99–115.

[TiB91] ———, *Brill-Noether theory for stable vector bundles*, Duke Math. J. **62** (1991), no. 2, 385–400.

[Tyu04] Andrei N. Tyurin, *Fano versus Calabi-Yau*, The Fano Conference, Univ. Torino, Turin, 2004, pp. 701–734.

[Wei94] Charles A. Weibel, *An introduction to homological algebra*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 38, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.

E-mail address: `brambilla@math.unifi.it, brambilla@dipmat.univpm.it`

Dipartimento di Scienze Matematiche, Università Politecnica delle Marche, Ancona, Italy
URL: `http://web.math.unifi.it/users/brambill/`

E-mail address: `daniele.faenzi@univ-pau.fr`

Université de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour, Av. de l'université - BP 576 - 64012 PAU Cedex - France
URL: `http://www.univ-pau.fr/~dfaenzi1`